

ON UNIT-REGULAR RINGS SATISFYING S-COMPARABILITY

MAMORU KUTAMI

(Received February 8, 1995)

1. Preliminaries and notations

In [2] and [3], we studied the properties of unit-regular rings satisfying the comparability axiom. In this paper, we shall investigate unit-regular rings satisfying s -comparability which is a generalized notion of the comparability axiom. In section 2, we shall show that these rings have the property (DF), that is, $P \oplus Q$ is directly finite for every two directly finite projective modules P and Q . In section 3, we shall obtain a criterion of direct finiteness of projective modules over these rings (Proposition 4 and Theorem 7). Using this result, we can determine the types of directly finite projective modules and classify the family of all unit-regular rings satisfying s -comparability into three types; Types A, B and C (Theorem 12). In section 4, we shall give the ideal-theoretic characterization for Types A, B and C (Theorems 14, 15 and 16).

Throughout this paper, R is a ring with identity and all modules are unital right R -modules.

NOTATION. If M and N are R -modules, then the notation $N \lesssim M$ (resp. $N \lesssim \oplus M$) means that N is isomorphic to a submodule of M (resp. N is isomorphic to a direct summand of M). For a cardinal number α and an R -module M , αM denotes the direct sum of α -copies of M . For a set X , we denote the cardinal number of X by $|X|$. We denote by N_0 the set of all positive integers.

DEFINITION. A ring R is *directly finite* if $xy = 1$ implies $yx = 1$ for all $x, y \in R$. An R -module M is *directly finite* if $\text{End}_R(M)$ is directly finite. A ring R (a module M) is *directly infinite* if it is not directly finite. It is well-known that M is directly finite if and only if M is not isomorphic to a proper direct summand of M itself. A ring R is said to be a *unit-regular* ring if, for each $x \in R$, there exists a unit (i.e. an invertible element) u of R such that $xux = x$. Let s be a positive integer. Then a regular ring R is said to satisfy *s -comparability* provided that for any $x, y \in R$, either $xR \lesssim_s (yR)$ or $yR \lesssim_s (xR)$. Note that 1-comparability is called *the comparability axiom*.