

## REMARKS ON OPEN SURFACES

G.K. SANKARAN

(Received February 8, 1991)

### 0. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a smooth complex analytic open surface: that is,  $X$  is biholomorphically equivalent to  $M \setminus D$ , where  $M$  is a compact complex variety of dimension 2 and  $D$  is a closed analytic subvariety of  $M$ . We can assume that  $M$  is also smooth.

We shall be interested in the case where  $X$  is strongly pseudoconvex (see [6] for definitions). Such an  $X$  contains a distinguished compact analytic subset  $Z$ , which is the union of all closed analytic subspaces of  $X$  of positive dimension.  $Z$  is empty if and only if  $X$  is Stein.

A famous remark of Serre, in [8], points out that  $M$  is not determined up to bimeromorphic equivalence by  $X$ . If  $X = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$  then  $M$  can be rational or elliptic ruled, or (an observation due to Igusa, see [1]) a non-elliptic Hopf surface. Naturally one asks: for what other such  $X$ , if any, is  $M$  not unique up to bimeromorphic equivalence?

This question and some related ones have been considered by (among others) Tan, in a series of papers ([11], [12], [13], [14]). There it is always assumed that  $M$  is minimal. This, however, imposes a further restriction on  $X$ . The purpose of this note is to see what happens for general  $M$ .

### 1. A non-minimal example

We give an easy example of a Stein open surface  $X$  for which only non-minimal compactifications exist. Let  $M'$  be a surface whose universal cover is a bounded domain, say a ball in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then  $M'$  is a strongly minimal surface of general type and is hyperbolic in the sense of [4]. Let  $\pi: M \rightarrow M'$  be the blow-up of  $M'$  in a point  $p$ , and let  $C = \pi^{-1}(p)$  (so that  $C$  is a  $(-1)$ -curve in  $M$ ). Fix some projective embedding of  $M$  and let  $D$  be a general hyperplane section (so  $C \not\subseteq D$ ). Put  $X = M \setminus D$ : thus  $X$  is affine, and therefore Stein. As we shall see below, the fact that  $M$  is of general type implies that it is determined by  $X$  up to bimeromorphic equivalence. By the uniqueness of minimal models,  $M'$  is the only minimal surface which can possibly contain an open subset biholomorphically equivalent to  $X$ . Suppose it does: let  $\varphi: X \hookrightarrow M'$  be a