

## ON A RELATION BETWEEN HIGHER ORDER ASYMPTOTIC RISK SUFFICIENCY AND HIGHER ORDER ASYMPTOTIC SUFFICIENCY IN A LOCAL SENSE

TAKERU SUZUKI

(Received November 26, 1986)

(Revised May 7, 1987)

**1. Introduction.** In Takeuchi [4] higher order asymptotic risk sufficiency of maximum likelihood estimator has been discussed. In this paper we try to find some relations between asymptotic risk sufficiency with a special loss function and asymptotic sufficiency in a local sense.

Let  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P_{\theta,n}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  be a family of probability distributions on a measurable space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}_n)$  with an index set  $\Theta$  which is a subset of an Euclidean space with the usual norm  $|\cdot|$ . For a sub  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , real number  $c \geq 0$  and  $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$  let  $r_n^{\mathcal{C}}(c; \theta, \theta') = \inf (1+c)^{-1} \{1 - E_{P_{\theta,n}}(\phi) + cE_{P_{\theta',n}}(\phi)\}$ ;  $\phi$  are  $\mathcal{C}$ -measurable statistical test functions on  $\mathcal{X}$ . We note that  $r_n^{\mathcal{C}}(c; \theta, \theta')$  means the Bayes risk of statistical problem of testing a hypothesis ' $P_{\theta',n}$  is true' against an alternative ' $P_{\theta,n}$  is true' with experiment  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C}, \{P_{\theta',n}, P_{\theta,n}\})$  relative to a prior probability distribution  $(c/(1+c), 1/(1+c))$  on  $\{\theta', \theta\}$  provided that the loss function is simple.

Let  $\{\mathcal{B}_n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a sequence of sub  $\sigma$ -fields of  $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}$  ( $\mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ ). In this paper we give a sufficient condition about the Bayes risk  $r_n^{\mathcal{B}_n}$  for  $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}$  to be higher order locally asymptotically sufficient sequence of  $\sigma$ -fields. More precisely our main result in this paper is the following: Under some conditions if for some positive number  $\alpha$   $\sup_{c>0} \sup_{\theta^* \in K} \sup_{\theta: n^{1/2}|\theta-\theta^*| \leq b} \{r_n^{\mathcal{B}_n}(c; \theta, \theta^*) - r_n^{\mathcal{A}_n}(c; \theta, \theta^*)\} = o(n^{-\alpha})$  for every  $b > 0$  and every compact subset  $K$  of  $\Theta$ , then for every  $\beta$  satisfying  $0 < \beta < 3^{-1}\alpha$   $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}$  is locally asymptotically sufficient for  $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$  with order  $o(n^{-\beta})$  in the sense that for each  $n=1, 2, \dots$  and each  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  there exists a family  $\{Q_{\theta,n}^0; \theta \in \Theta\}$  of probability distributions on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}_n)$  for which  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is sufficient  $\sigma$ -field and that for every  $b > 0$

$$\sup_{\theta: n^{1/2}|\theta-\theta_0| \leq b} \|P_{\theta,n} - Q_{\theta,n}^0\|_{\mathcal{A}_n} = o(n^{-\beta})$$

uniformly in  $\theta_0$  over every compact subsets of  $\Theta$ . Here  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_n}$  means the total variation norm over  $\mathcal{A}_n$ .

We have discussed such a problem in the case  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  in Suzuki [3] under