

HOMOTOPY REPRESENTATIONS AND SPHERES OF REPRESENTATIONS

IKUMITSU NAGASAKI

(Received November 6, 1984)

0. Introduction

T. tom Dieck and T. Petrie have introduced and studied homotopy representations in [7] and [8]. Let G be a finite group. A G -CW-complex X is called a homotopy representation of G if the H -fixed point set X^H is homotopy equivalent to a $(\dim X^H)$ -dimensional sphere for each subgroup H of G . (If X^H is empty, then we set $\dim X^H = -1$ and S^{-1} is empty.) A homotopy representation of G is called linear if it is G -homotopy equivalent to a unit sphere of a real representation of G . (See [7].) We denote the set of G -homotopy classes of homotopy representations [resp. linear homotopy representations] of G by $V^+(G, h^\infty)$ [resp. $V^+(G, \mathcal{I})$]. These sets are commutative semi-groups with addition induced by join. Let $V(G, \lambda)$ be the Grothendieck group associated to $V^+(G, \lambda)$ for $\lambda = \mathcal{I}$ or h^∞ . We call $V(G, h^\infty)$ the homotopy representation group of G and $V(G, \mathcal{I})$ the linear homotopy representation group of G . The group $V(G, h^\infty)$ has been studied by tom Dieck and Petrie ([7], [8]) and the group $V(G, \mathcal{I})$ has been studied by many authors. (See [1], [4], [10], [11], [13], [15], [18] and [19].)

Let $\phi(G)$ be the set of conjugacy classes of subgroups of G and $C(G)$ be the ring of all integer valued functions on $\phi(G)$. For any homotopy representation X , $\text{Dim } X \in C(G)$ is defined by $(\text{Dim } X)(H) = \dim X^H + 1$, which is called the dimension function of X . Since $\text{Dim } X * Y = \text{Dim } X + \text{Dim } Y$ ($*$ means the join), the homomorphism $\text{Dim}: V(G, \lambda) \rightarrow C(G)$ is induced by the assignment $X \mapsto \text{Dim } X$. This homomorphism is called the dimension homomorphism of $V(G, \lambda)$. The kernel of Dim is denoted by $v(G, \lambda)$. tom Dieck and Petrie have shown that $v(G, h^\infty)$ is isomorphic to the Picard group $\text{Pic}(A(G))$ of the Burnside ring of G in [7].

We are interested in the difference between $V(G, \mathcal{I})$ and $V(G, h^\infty)$. We observe the homomorphisms which are induced from the inclusion $V^+(G, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow V^+(G, h^\infty)$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_G: V(G, \mathcal{I}) &\rightarrow V(G, h^\infty) \\ i_G: v(G, \mathcal{I}) &\rightarrow v(G, h^\infty). \end{aligned}$$