

DIVISIBILITY BY 16 OF CLASS NUMBER OF QUADRATIC FIELDS WHOSE 2-CLASS GROUPS ARE CYCLIC

YOSHIHIKO YAMAMOTO*

(Received August 5, 1982)

0. Introduction. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ be the quadratic field with discriminant D , and $H(D)$ and $h(D)$ be the ideal class group of K and its class number respectively. The ideal class group of K in the narrow sense and its class number are denoted by $H^+(D)$ and $h^+(D)$ respectively. We have $h^+(D) = 2h(D)$, if $D > 0$ and the fundamental unit $\varepsilon_D (> 1)$ has the norm 1, and $h^+(D) = h(D)$, otherwise. We assume, throughout the paper, that $|D|$ has just two distinct prime divisors, written p and q , so that the 2-class group of K (i.e. the Sylow 2-subgroup of $H^+(D)$ because we mean in the narrow sense) is cyclic. Then the discriminant D can be written uniquely as a product of two prime discriminants d_1 and d_2 , $D = d_1 d_2$, such that $p | d_1$ and $q | d_2$ (cf. [16], for example).

By Redei and Reichardt [13] (cf. proposition 1.2 below), $h^+(D)$ is divisible by 4 if and only if D belongs to one of the following 6 types:

(R1) $D = pq$, $d_1 = p$, $d_2 = q$, $p \equiv q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = 1$ ($= \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$ by reciprocity);

(R2) $D = 8q$, $d_1 = 8$ ($p = 2$), $d_2 = q$, and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$;

(I1) $D = -pq$, $d_1 = -p$, $d_2 = q$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $\left(\frac{-p}{q}\right) = 1$ ($= \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$ by reciprocity);

(I2) $D = -8p$, $d_1 = -p$, $d_2 = 8$ ($q = 2$), and $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$;

(I3) $D = -8q$, $d_1 = -8$ ($p = 2$), $d_2 = q$, and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$;

(I4) $D = -4q$, $d_1 = -4$ ($p = 2$), $d_2 = q$, and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$;

where $(-)$ is the Legendre-Jacobi-Kronecker symbol.

Conditions for $h^+(D)$ to be divisible by 8 have been given by several authors for each case or cases ([1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 15]). Some of them are reformulated in section 3. The purpose of this paper is to give some conditions for the divisibility by 16 of $h^+(D)$ for each case (cf. theorems 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, 5.8, and 6.7). The main ideas were announced in [18] and [19].

While in preparation of the manuscript P. Kaplan informed me that theorem 6.7 was proved also by K.S. Williams with a different method and furthermore he gave a congruence for $h(-4q)$ modulo 16 ([17]).

* Reseach supported partly by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research.