Decision Procedure for Modal Sentential Calculus S3

By Kazuo MATSUMOTO

Some trials to solve the decision problem for modal sentential calculus $S3^{1}$ have been tried by W. T. Parry, S. Halldén, A. R. Anderson and some others. That is, in 1932, W. T. Parry [8] showed that γ^* is provable in S3 if and only if γ^* is provable in S5 where γ^* is of degree at most $1^{2,3}$.

In 1950, S. Halldén [4] showed that the decision problem for S3 can be reduced to that for a new system $S7^{4}$, which enlarges S3 by adjoining $\Diamond \Diamond p$ as an axiom to S3.

It is reported⁵ that A. R. Anderson [1] solved the decision problem for S3 in 1953 using the method of von Wright [10].

The object of this paper is to give a Gentzen type decision procedure for modal sentential calculus S3.

The author wishes to express his cordial thanks to Mr. Masao Ohnishi for his suggestions and instructions in connection with this paper.

§1. Definitions of Q3 and Q^* and their equivalence.

Our formulations of Q3 and Q* are based upon "Sequenzenkalkül LK", which was constructed by G. Gentzen [3]. Namely:

 $\begin{cases} \text{logical symbols :} \\ \cdot \text{ (and), } \sim \text{ (not), } \lor \text{ (or), } \supset \text{(if } \cdots \text{, then)} \\ \text{rules of inference } (LK\text{-rules}) : \end{cases}$

(structural rules

weakening, contraction, exchange and cut. logical rules

$$(\rightarrow \cdot), (\rightarrow \lor), (\rightarrow \sim), (\rightarrow \supset), (\rightarrow \supset), (\rightarrow \rightarrow), (\lor \rightarrow), (\lor \rightarrow), (\lor \rightarrow), (\rightarrow \rightarrow).$$

Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of this paper.

4) The decision problem for S7 has not been solved.
5) Recently Prof. Anderson wrote me the essential part of his solution for the decision problem of S3, but it seems to me that his solution is incorrect. (Added in proof.)

¹⁾ C. I. Lewis and C. H. Langford [5].

²⁾ For the definition of "a formula of degree n", see A. R. Anderson [2], p. 203.

³⁾ S. Halldén [4] remarked that γ^* is provable in S2 if and only if γ^* is provable in S5 where γ^* is of degree at most 1. See M. Ohnishi and K. Matsumoto [7], p. 119.