

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF INVARIANT AFFINE CONNECTIONS

BERTRAM KOSTANT

**1. Introduction and statement of theorem.** 1. In [1] Ambrose and Singer gave a necessary and sufficient condition (Theorem 3 here) for a simply connected complete Riemannian manifold to admit a transitive group of motions. Here we shall give a simple proof of a more general theorem—Theorem 1 (the proof of Theorem 1 became suggestive to us after we noted that the  $T_x$  of [1] is just the  $a_x$  of [6] when  $X$  is restricted to  $\mathfrak{p}_0$ , see [6], p. 539). In fact after introducing, below, the notion of one affine connection  $A$  on a manifold being rigid with respect to another affine connection  $B$  on  $M$  and making some observations concerning such a relationship, Theorem 1 is seen to be a reformulation of Theorem 2. But Theorem 2 may be obtained as a consequence of some work of Nomizu and Kobayashi.<sup>1)</sup> Here we refer especially to the work of these mathematicians on the theory of affine connections which are invariant under parallelism. Such an affine connection was called locally reductive in [4]. (Since a slight elaboration of this theory was needed for our purposes, rather than “fill in”, we have preferred instead to give a somewhat different, almost self-contained, account of the relevant portion of this theory here.)

Without any statement to the contrary it will be assumed throughout this paper that the manifold  $M$  and any affine connection or tensor field to be considered on  $M$  is of class  $C^\infty$ .

**1.2.** We shall need some definitions.

(a) The notion of a reductive homogeneous space was introduced by Nomizu [7]. Assume that  $G$  is a connected Lie group and  $G$  is given as operating transitively on a manifold  $M$  as a group of homeomorphisms in such a way that the map  $G \times M \rightarrow M$  defined by  $(g, o) \rightarrow g \cdot o$  is of class  $C^\infty$ . Here  $g \cdot o$  is the image of  $o \in M$  under the action of  $g \in G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of

---

Received August 13, 1959.

<sup>1)</sup> We have been informed by correspondence that Nomizu has also obtained a similar generalization of Theorem 3.