S. Mori Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 98 (1985), 43-66

## **ON 3-DIMENSIONAL TERMINAL SINGULARITIES**

## SHIGEFUMI MORI

## Introduction

Canonical and terminal singularities are introduced by M. Reid [5], [6]. He proved that 3-dimensional terminal singularities are cyclic quotient of smooth points or cDV points [6].

Let (X, p) be a 3-dimensional terminal singularity of index m with the associated  $Z_m$ -cover  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{p}) \to (X, p)$ . If (X, p) is a cyclic quotient singularity (i. e. if  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{p})$  is smooth), then it is known as Terminal Lemma (Danilov [3], D. Morrison-G. Stevens [4]) that there exist an integer aprime to m and coordinates x, y, z of  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{p})$  which are  $Z_m$ -semi-invariants such that  $\sigma(x) = \zeta x, \ \sigma(y) = \zeta^{-1}y, \ \sigma(x) = \zeta^a z$  for the standard generator  $\sigma$ of  $Z_m$ , where  $\zeta$  is a primitive m-th root of 1. In this paper, we consider the case where  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{p})$  is a singular point and m > 1. The main results are Theorems 12, 23, 25 and Remarks 12.2, 23.1, 25.1. These, together with the Terminal Lemma above, almost classify 3-dimensional terminal singularities.

Since  $(X, \tilde{p})$  is an isolated singularity (or smooth) and is a hypersurface defined by a  $Z_m$ -semi-invariant power series (say  $\varphi$ ), all deformations of (X, p) are induced by deformations of  $\varphi$  as a  $Z_m$ -semi-invariant power series [2, §§ 9–10]. By Theorems 12, 23 and 25, one can see that there is a semi-invariant coordinate which has the same character as  $\varphi$  (e.g. zin Theorem 12, (1)), and hence every terminal singularity can be deformed to a cyclic quotient singularity (e.g. by  $\varphi + \lambda z$  with parameter  $\lambda$  for the case Theorem 12, (1)). This is not necessarily the case with canonical singularities.

The author expresses his hearty thanks to Professor S. Iitaka who allowed him to use his word processor, and to Professor M. Ishida for his helpful comments and pointing out mistakes in the original version.

As for the notation, we say that a monomial (say  $u^2$ ) appears in a

Received December 21, 1983.