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## 1. Introduction

In [1] D. Aldous gave a sufficient condition for the tightness of a sequence ( $\left.X^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of right continuous (with left limits) processes taking their values in a separable complete metric space $S$. As already noted by Aldous this condition is far from being necessary when the processes $X^{n}$ are not continuous. More precisely the Aldous-condition implies the left-quasicontinuity of all the weak limits of the sequence $\left(X^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$. (see [1] or [4]).

When the $X^{n}$,s are real square integrable martingales (or more generally locally square integrable martingales), it has been shown by $R$. Rebolledo ([9, see also an exposition in [4]) that the Aldous-condition for the positive increasing Meyer-processes $\left(\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle\right)$ implies the Aldous-condition for $\left(X^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$.

In the case of Hilbert valued martingales it has been shown in [6] that the Aldous-condition on $\left(\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle\right)$ plus a tightness condition on the sequence $\left(\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle_{T}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of operator valued random variables, $\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle$ being the "tensor-Meyer-process" of $X^{n}$ (see [7]), is also sufficient for the tightness of $\left(X^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$.

But in general neither the Aldous-condition on $\left(\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is necessary for the tightness of $\left(X^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$, nor the tightness of $\left(\left\langle\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle\right)_{n \geq 0}$ alone implies the tightness of $\left(X^{n}\right)_{n \gtrless 0}$ (see J. Jacod, J. Mémin, M. Métivier [3]) unless some condition is assumed on the limits of the laws of the processes $\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle$. When the processes are real or finite dimensional, the fact that the limiting laws are carried by the subset of continuous paths in $D\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}, \boldsymbol{H}\right)$ is sufficient. (see R. Rebolledo [9] and also [3] Theorem 1).

Considering only continuous processes, S. Nakao ([8]) recently proved
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