P. Orlik and H. Terao Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 134 (1994), 65-73

## **COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS FOR ARRANGEMENTS**

PETER ORLIK AND HIROAKI TERAO<sup>1</sup>

## **1. Introduction**

Let *V* be a vector space of dimension / over some field K. A hyperplane *H* is a vector subspace of codimension one. An arrangement  $\mathscr A$  is a finite collection of hyperplanes in  $V$ . We use [7] as a general reference. Let  $M(\mathscr{A})\,=\,V-\,\cup_{\,{H}\in\mathscr{A}}H$ be the complement of the hyperplanes. Let  $V^*$  be the dual space of V. Each hyperplane  $H \in \mathscr{A}$  is the kernel of a linear form  $\alpha_{H} \in V^{\ast}$ , defined up to a constant. The product

$$
Q(\mathcal{A}) = \prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_H
$$

is called a *defining polynomial* of  $\mathcal A$ . Brieskorn [3] associated to  $\mathcal A$  the finite dimensional skew-commutative algebra  $R(\mathcal{A})$  generated by 1 and the differential forms  $d\alpha_H/\alpha_H$  for  $H\in\mathscr{A}$ . When  $\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{C}$ , the algebra  $R(\mathscr{A})$  is isomorphic to the coho mology algebra of the open manifold  $M(\mathcal{A})$ . The structure of  $R(\mathcal{A})$  was determined in [6] as the quotient of an exterior algebra by an ideal. In particular this shows that  $R(\mathcal{A})$  depends only on the intersection poset of  $\mathcal{A}, L(\mathcal{A})$ , and not on the individual linear forms  $\alpha_{H^{\star}}$ 

A subarrangement  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is called *independent* if  $\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{B}} H$  has codimension  $\mathcal{B}$ , the cardinality of  $\mathcal{B}$ . In a special lecture at the Japan Mathematical Society in 1992, Aomoto suggested the study of the graded  $K$ -vector space

$$
AO(\mathscr{A}) = \sum_{\mathscr{B}} \mathbf{K} Q(\mathscr{B})^{-1}, \quad \mathscr{B} \text{ independent.}
$$

It appears as the top cohomology group of a certain 'twisted' de Rham chain com plex [1]. When  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ , he conjectured that the dimension of  $AO(\mathcal{A})$  is equal to the number of connected components (chambers) of  $M(\mathcal{A})$ , which he proved for generic arrangements. In this paper we prove this conjecture in general. We construct a

Received March 3, 1993.

 $^1$  This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation