## On the Finite Difference Approximation for a Parabolic Blow-Up Problem

C.-H. CHO, S. HAMADA and H. OKAMOTO

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Kyoto University, Kyoto 606–8502, Japan E-mail: okamoto@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Received December 16, 2005 Revised October 13, 2006

## 1. Introduction

We consider a scalar semi-linear parabolic partial differential equation  $u_t = u_{xx} + f(u)$   $(0 \le t, 0 \le x \le 1)$  with the Dirichlet boundary condition u = 0 on x = 0 and x = 1, where  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function. It is known (see, [4, 8, 10, 11]) that a solution with a large initial data blows up in finite time, if an appropriate growth condition on f as  $u \to \infty$  is imposed. We are concerned in this paper with a question as to how a finite difference scheme can reproduce the blow-up phenomena. By studying various papers, we found that many interesting problems for numerical analysis of the parabolic blow-up problem are left unsolved. And we would like to solve some of them in the present paper.

Let us recall a pioneering paper by Nakagawa [12]. With  $f(u) = u^2$ , Nakagawa [12] considered a finite difference scheme, where a uniform mesh was used for the spatial variable and a certain adaptive mesh was used for the time variable. He then showed that his finite difference solutions blow up in finite time if a certain largeness condition on the initial data is assumed and that the numerical blow-up time converges to the 'real' blow-up time if the mesh size tends to zero. Let T > 0 be the blow-up time. Then it is not difficult to prove that the finite difference scheme converges in  $0 \le t \le \tilde{T}$  for any prescribed  $\tilde{T} < T$ . This is a classical result, but Nakagawa did much more. His result is important in that he proved the convergence up to the blow-up time. Later, a finite element analogue was considered by Nakagawa and Ushijima [13]. Quite general blow-up conditions were established for semi-discretized equations by T.K. Ushijima [16]. See also [1, 2]. Recently a substantial generalization was made on the convergence of the blow-up time by Abia and others [3]. A different approach was proposed by Hirota and Ozawa [9].

A new direction was explored by Chen [6], who considered the equation with  $f(u) = u^{1+\alpha}$  and proved, among others, that some numerical solutions can blow up at more than one point, while a one-point blow-up is known to occur in the continuous problem. Thus, a finite difference scheme with a spatially uniform mesh does not correctly reproduce the blow-up phenomena. Correct meaning will be stated later.