

A SPECTRAL MAPPING THEOREM FOR POLYNOMIAL OPERATOR MATRICES

KLAUS-J. ENGEL†

*Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, D-7400 Tübingen, Federal Republic of Germany*

(Submitted by : J.A. Goldstein)

Abstract. Systems of linear evolution equations can be written as a single equation

$$\dot{u}(t) = \mathcal{A}u(t), \tag{*}$$

where u is a function with values in a product space E^n and $\mathcal{A} = (A_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is an operator matrix. Often the entries A_{ij} are polynomials $p_{ij}(A)$ with respect to a single (unbounded) operator A on E (see, e.g., [1], [2], [3], [6], [11]). In order to solve (*) one has to determine the properties of the operator matrix \mathcal{A} . In particular one has to find an appropriate domain $D(\mathcal{A})$ such that \mathcal{A} is closed. This will be discussed in the first part of this paper. Then it is important to compute the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} . One expects a kind of spectral mapping theorem based on the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of A and the structure of the matrix (p_{ij}) . We show in Part 2 in which sense such a spectral mapping theorem holds. An application to stability theory, i.e., the computation of an estimate for the spectral bound $s(\mathcal{A})$ concludes this paper. In a subsequent paper we discuss which operator matrices $(p_{ij}(A))$ generate strongly continuous semigroups on E^n and give applications to systems of differential equations.

1. How to define an operator matrix? We study $n \times n$ matrices \mathcal{A} whose entries are polynomials $p_{ij}(A)$ in a fixed – possibly unbounded – operator A on some Banach space E .

For bounded A it is obvious that the operator matrix \mathcal{A} defines a bounded operator on the product space $\mathcal{E} := E^n$. The situation for unbounded A is more complicated. In fact, the matrix \mathcal{A} only induces a formal map

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t \mapsto \mathcal{A}x = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_{1i}(A)x_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ni}(A)x_i \right)^t,$$

but leaves open a wide choice of possible domains. If one wants “nice” properties of \mathcal{A} , such as closedness for example, then a more careful analysis is necessary.

Received July 6, 1988.

†This paper is a result of the author’s dissertation, which was supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

AMS Subject Classifications: 34G10, 35G10, 47D05, 47A10.