

# An Estimate from Above of the Number of Periodic Orbits for Semi-Dispersed Billiards

Luchezar Stojanov\*

Institute of Mathematics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, BG-1090 Sofia, Bulgaria

**Abstract.** For a large class of semi-dispersed billiards an exponential estimate from above is found for the number of periodic points of the billiard ball map.

## 1. Introduction and Main Results

Let  $Q$  be a domain (bounded or unbounded) in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , with the boundary

$$\partial Q = \Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_s \quad (s \geq 3),$$

where each  $\Gamma_i$  is a compact convex  $C^2$ -smooth  $(d-1)$ -dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with piecewise smooth boundary  $\partial\Gamma_i$ , and

$$\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j \subset \partial\Gamma_i \cup \partial\Gamma_j$$

whenever  $i \neq j$ . Each  $\partial\Gamma_i$  is the union of a finite number of compact  $(d-2)$ -dimensional submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $\partial\Gamma_i \neq \emptyset$ , then clearly  $\Gamma_i$  is the boundary of a compact convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

*Main Assumption.* In the sequel we assume that each  $\Gamma_i$  is contained in the boundary of a convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Therefore if  $K_i$  is the convex hull of  $\Gamma_i$ , then  $\Gamma_i \subset \partial K_i$ .

The points of

$$\mathring{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_1 \setminus \partial\Gamma_1) \cup \dots \cup (\Gamma_s \setminus \partial\Gamma_s)$$

will be called *regular points* of  $\Gamma$ . For  $q \in \mathring{\Gamma}$  we denote by  $N(q)$  the *normal unit vector* to  $\Gamma$  at  $q$  directed to the interior of  $Q$ . With respect to this framing the second fundamental form of  $\Gamma$  is non-negative definite at each  $q \in \mathring{\Gamma}$ .

We consider the billiard in  $Q$ , that is the dynamical system generated by the motion of material point in  $Q$  (see [4, 13]). The point is moving with constant velocity in the interior of  $Q$  with reflections at  $\partial Q$  according to the rule “the angle of incidence is equal to the angle of reflection.”

---

\* Partially supported by the Bulgarian Committee of Sciences, Grant No. 52