

Erratum

The Quantum Theory of Second Class Constraints: Kinematics

H. Grundling¹ and C. A. Hurst²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

² Department of Mathematical Physics, University of Adelaide, GPO Box 498, Adelaide, S. Australia 5001

Commun. Math. Phys. **119**, 75 (1988)

In the proof of Lemma 3.2, [1], we proved that if for all $U \in \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ of the form $U = \sum \lambda_\ell U_\ell$, $U_\ell \in \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ we have $\sum \lambda_\ell = 1$, then $\mathbf{1} \notin C^*(\mathcal{U} - \mathbf{1})$. This proof is wrong because not all positive elements $A \in C^*(\mathcal{U})_+$ can be written as $A = B^*B$ with $B = \sum \lambda_\ell U_\ell$, though the set of such elements is of course dense in $C^*(\mathcal{U})_+$. Hence the proven inequality $\omega(B^*B) \geq 0$ is not sufficient to ensure that ω is positive, so it does not follow that ω extends from the $*$ -algebra generated by \mathcal{U} to $C^*(\mathcal{U})$. In fact we know that in general this part of Lemma 3.2 is wrong:

Assertion. *There is no general condition on the $*$ -algebra generated by a set of constraints \mathcal{U} which is equivalent to $\mathbf{1} \in C^*(\mathcal{U} - \mathbf{1})$.*

Proof. We exhibit a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{K} containing a group of unitaries \mathcal{U} , and complete it in two different C^* -norms. In one of the resulting C^* -algebras we will have $\mathbf{1} \in C^*(\mathcal{U} - \mathbf{1})$, and in the other $\mathbf{1} \notin C^*(\mathcal{U} - \mathbf{1})$.

Let G be a discrete group acting on a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{F} with the action $\alpha: G \mapsto \text{Aut } \mathcal{F}$. Construct $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := M(G_\alpha \times \mathcal{F})$ which contains \mathcal{F} and a faithful unitary representation $U: G \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_u$ of G which implements α , i.e. $\alpha_g = \text{Ad } U_g$. So U_G is a group.

Lemma 1. $U_G \subset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a linearly independent set.

Proof. $(U_g f)(r) := \alpha_g(f(g^{-1}r)) \forall g, r \in G, \forall f \in \ell^1(G, \mathcal{F})$, where $\ell^1(G, \mathcal{F}) := \{f: G \mapsto \mathcal{F} \mid \sum_{g \in G} \|f(g)\| < \infty\}$. Assume U_G is linearly dependent, i.e. $\exists \beta_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0, g_k \in G$ all different and $N < \infty$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k U_{g_k} = 0$. Hence $\forall f \in \ell^1(G, \mathcal{F})$ we have $\sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k \alpha_{g_k}(f(g_k^{-1}r)) = 0$. Choose $f(r) := \mathbf{1} \delta(r, e)$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k \mathbf{1} \delta(g_k^{-1}r, e) = 0 \forall r \in G$, so for $r = g_k$ this implies $\beta_k = 0$, which contradicts our assumption. \square

Take $\mathcal{U} = U_G$ for the chosen constraint set, let the $*$ -algebra \mathcal{K} be generated by U_G , hence it is the linear space generated by U_G . Let the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} be the C^* -