

## On the support of the Ashtekar-Lewandowski Measure

Donald Marolf, José M. Mourão<sup>★</sup>

Department of Physics, Center for Gravitational Physics and Geometry, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802-6300, USA

Received: 25 March 1994

**Abstract:** We show that the Ashtekar-Isham extension  $\overline{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}}$  of the configuration space of Yang-Mills theories  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$  is (topologically and measure-theoretically) the projective limit of a family of finite dimensional spaces associated with arbitrary finite lattices.

These results are then used to prove that  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$  is contained in a zero measure subset of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}}$  with respect to the diffeomorphism invariant Ashtekar-Lewandowski measure on  $\overline{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}}$ . Much as in scalar field theory, this implies that states in the quantum theory associated with this measure can be realized as functions on the “extended” configuration space  $\overline{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}}$ .

### 1. Introduction

The usual canonical approach to quantization of a (finite dimensional) system defines states as functions on a configuration space and defines an inner product of two such functions  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  through

$$(\psi, \phi) = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} d\mu \psi^* \phi,$$

where  $\mu$  is some measure on the configuration space  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Naively applying this procedure to Yang-Mills theories produces a “connection representation” with states that are functions of the Yang-Mills connection. In particular, these states are functions on the quotient space  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the space of  $(C^1)$ -connections and  $\mathcal{G}$  is the group of  $(C^2)$ -gauge transformations. The same is true for gravity formulated in terms of Ashtekar variables before one imposes the diffeomorphism and hamiltonian constraints [1,2].

A more sophisticated analysis of examples, such as scalar field theory [3-5], shows that the domain space of the wave functions may not be exactly the classical configuration space. Instead, some extension of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is required.

---

<sup>★</sup> On leave of absence from Dept. Física, Inst. Sup. Técnico, 1096 Lisboa, Portugal