

## The Inverse Problem in Classical Statistical Mechanics

J. T. Chayes<sup>1,\*,†</sup>, L. Chayes<sup>1,\*\*,†</sup>, and Elliott H. Lieb<sup>2,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

<sup>2</sup> Departments of Mathematics and Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

**Abstract.** We address the problem of whether there exists an external potential corresponding to a given equilibrium single particle density of a classical system. Results are established for both the canonical and grand canonical distributions. It is shown that for essentially all systems without hard core interactions, there is a unique external potential which produces any given density. The external potential is shown to be a continuous function of the density and, in certain cases, it is shown to be differentiable. As a consequence of the differentiability of the inverse map (which is established without reference to the hard core structure in the grand canonical ensemble), we prove the existence of the Ornstein-Zernike direct correlation function. A set of necessary, but not sufficient conditions for the solution of the inverse problem in systems with hard core interactions is derived.

### 1. Introduction

The inverse problem in classical statistical mechanics concerns the relationship between classical systems and their equilibrium single particle densities. Consider a finite temperature classical system of  $N$  particles characterized by an interaction  $W(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ , where  $x_i$  denotes all the coordinates (e.g. space, spin, etc.) of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  particle.  $W$  is henceforth regarded as a fixed, given function. It need not be symmetric. If an external potential,  $U(x)$ , is applied to the system, the density of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  particle in the canonical distribution is

$$\varrho_i(U; x_i) = Z_U^{-1} \int \exp \left[ -W(x_1, \dots, x_N) - \sum_{j=1}^N U(x_j) \right] dx_1 \dots \widehat{dx}_i \dots dx_N, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\widehat{dx}_i$  indicates that there is no integration over  $x_i$ , and

---

\* Work partially supported by NSF grant PHY-8117463

\*\* Work partially supported by NSF grant PHY-8116101 A01

† Address beginning September 1983: Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA