

Occurrence of Strange Axiom A Attractors Near Quasi Periodic Flows on T^m , $m \geq 3$

S. Newhouse¹, D. Ruelle^{2*}, and F. Takens^{3*}

¹ University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

² Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France

³ Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Abstract. It is shown that by a small C^2 (resp. C^∞) perturbation of a quasi-periodic flow on the 3-torus (resp. the m -torus, $m > 3$), one can produce strange Axiom A attractors. Ancillary results and physical interpretation are also discussed.

1. Statement of Results

The main purpose of this note is to prove the following fact.

Theorem 1. *Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a constant vector field on the torus $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$.*

If $n = 3$, in every C^2 neighborhood of a there is a vector field satisfying Axiom A and having a non trivial attractor.

If $n \geq 4$, in every C^∞ neighborhood of a there is a vector field satisfying Axiom A and having a non trivial attractor.

We say that an Axiom A attractor is non trivial (or “strange”) if it does not consist of a single periodic orbit (for general definitions, see Smale [7]). The above theorem improves a result of Ruelle and Takens [6], and can be obtained by simple modifications of the proof given there. We nevertheless give here a complete proof, based on the following result which is of interest in itself.

Theorem 2. *Let M be a C^∞ compact manifold of dimension m .*

(a) *If $m = 2$, in every C^1 neighborhood of the identity there is an Axiom A diffeomorphism with a non trivial attractor.*

(b) *If $M = T^2$, in every C^2 neighborhood of the identity there is an Axiom A diffeomorphism with a non trivial attractor.*

(c) *If $m \geq 3$, in every C^∞ neighborhood of the identity there is an Axiom A diffeomorphism with a non trivial attractor.*

The proof of these theorems is given in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we discuss non trivial attractors for Axiom A diffeomorphisms of two-dimensional manifolds. Section 4 is devoted to physical interpretation of Theorem 1.

* The authors visited the IMPA during the preparation of this manuscript