

## A Converse to a Theorem by Friedrichs

JOSEPH SLAWNY

Department of Nuclear Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

Received March 26, 1969

**Abstract.** It is proved that the requirement of implementability of a group of canonical transformations defines a class of irreducible representations of the CAR. As a corollary a converse to Friedrichs' theorem about canonical transformations implementable in the Fock representation is obtained.

A well known theorem due to K. O. FRIEDRICHS [1] states that a (linear) canonical transformation

$$b^+(f) = a^+(Af) + a^-(Bf) \quad (*)$$

is unitarily implementable in the Fock representation of the canonical anticommutation relations if, and only if,  $B$  is of the Hilbert-Schmidt type (i.e.:  $B^*B$  is of the trace class).

In this note we prove the following converse theorem: if in an irreducible representation of the canonical anticommutation relations all canonical transformations (\*) with  $B = 0$  are implementable then it is the Fock or the anti-Fock representation.

Before going further, let us recall the definitions.

Let  $H$  be separable Hilbert space (the space of the test functions). By a representation of the canonical anticommutation relations (CAR) over  $H$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  we mean a linear mapping  $a^+ : H \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that if  $a^-(f) := a^+(f)^*$ ,  $f \in H$ , then:

$$a^-(f) a^+(g) + a^+(g) a^-(f) = (f|g) \quad \text{and} \quad a^+(f) a^+(g) + a^+(g) a^+(f) = 0.$$

A Fock (resp.: an anti-Fock) representation of the CAR is an irreducible representation for which there exists  $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$  such, that  $a^-(f) \Omega = 0$  (resp.:  $a^+(f) \Omega = 0$ ) for all  $f \in H$ .

One says that a pair  $(A, B)$ ,  $A$  linear and  $B$  an antilinear operators in  $H$ , defines a canonical transformation if  $b^+$  defined by (\*) is a representation of the CAR.

If, in addition, there exists such unitary  $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  that

$$b^+(f) = U a^+(f) U^{-1}, \quad f \in H.$$

then it is said that canonical transformation is (unitarily) implementable in the given representation.