

# A Note on the Decrease of Truncated Wightman Functions for Large Space-like Separation of the Arguments

K. POHLMAYER

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hamburg

Received November 27, 1967

**Abstract.** The truncated Wightman functions cannot decrease arbitrarily fast for large space-like separation of the arguments. For certain configurations they can fall off at most exponentially.

Upper bounds on the decrease of truncated Wightman functions were established a long time ago [1–5]. For instance, for a relativistic quantum field theory of a self-interacting neutral, scalar field  $A(x)$  H. ARAKI [2] (compare the footnote in [5]) proved the following theorem: Under the assumptions of a) Lorentz invariance, b) temperedness of the Wightman functions, c) the existence of a lowest non-zero mass, the truncated vacuum expectation value (TVEV)

$$\langle A(x_0) \dots A(x_n) \rangle^T$$

vanishes *at least* exponentially for  $x_{i-1} - x_i = \xi_i + \lambda \xi'_i$   $i = 1, \dots, n$  where  $\xi_i + \lambda \xi'_i$  should be a Jost point for sufficiently large  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\xi_i, \xi'_i$  fixed (with at least one  $\xi'_i \neq 0$ ).

Here we want to point out that a *lower* bound on the decrease of the TVEV for similar configurations can be obtained as well. We do not assume locality or the existence of a lowest non-zero mass.

To begin with, let us consider the 2-point function. Lorentz invariance, temperedness and positive definiteness imply the well-known Källén-Lehmann representation

$$\langle A(x_0) A(x_1) \rangle^T = \langle A(x_0) A(x_1) \rangle = i \int_0^\infty d\varrho \varrho(\mu) A_\mu^+(x_0 - x_1),$$

$\varrho(\mu)$  a positive tempered measure

$$\sim \frac{\text{const}}{-(x_0 - x_1)^2}$$

(or  $\sim \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2^{5/2} \pi^{3/2} \sqrt{-(x_0 - x_1)^{23/2}}} \exp\{-m \sqrt{-(x_0 - x_1)^2}\}$  in case of the existence of a lowest non-zero mass  $m$  in the theory).

Next, we turn to the 3-point function. It is analytic in the “extended tube”  $\mathcal{T}'_{0,1,2}$  the boundaries of which are explicitly known in terms of the invariants [6]. Consider

$$W_2^T(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \langle A(x_0) A(x_1) A(x_2) \rangle^T$$