

ON MAXIMAL FINITE IRREDUCIBLE SUBGROUPS OF $GL(n, \mathbf{Z})$

I. THE FIVE AND SEVEN DIMENSIONAL CASE

II. THE SIX DIMENSIONAL CASE

BY WILHELM PLESKEN AND MICHAEL POHST

Communicated by Olga Taussky Todd, February 16, 1976

By the Jordan-Zassenhaus Theorem there is only a finite number of conjugate classes (called \mathbf{Z} -classes) of finite subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbf{Z})$. After various authors have determined all of these groups for $n \leq 4$ [4], [3], as well as the maximal finite subgroups of $GL(5, \mathbf{Z})$ [2], [7], [8], we develop new methods for the determination of the absolutely irreducible maximal finite subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbf{Z})$ and compute these groups for $n = 5, 6, 7$. (We remark that irreducibility is tantamount to absolute irreducibility in case n is an odd prime number.) The algorithm proceeds in three steps.

1. Every absolutely irreducible finite subgroup G of $GL(n, \mathbf{Z})$ fixes, up to scalar multiples, exactly one positive definite symmetric matrix $X \in \mathbf{Z}^{n \times n}$ called the form of G :

$$g^T X g = X \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

It follows that each maximal finite absolutely irreducible subgroup H of $GL(n, \mathbf{Z})$ is the full \mathbf{Z} -automorph of its form. (The \mathbf{Z} -automorph of a positive form is certainly finite.) But the form of H is already determined by each of the absolutely irreducible subgroups of H . So at step 1 we determine all finite minimal absolutely irreducible subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbf{Z})$ up to conjugacy under $GL(n, \mathbf{Q})$, i.e. those absolutely irreducible groups which do not contain any proper absolutely irreducible subgroups. This is essentially a task of classical representation theory. As for the primitive groups we refer to papers by Brauer [1], Wales [9], and Lindsey [5]. To find the imprimitive groups we first had to prove an integral version of Clifford's Theorem. For $n = 5$ and 7 there are 2 minimal absolutely irreducible groups to be considered, but 33 for $n = 6$ because 6 is no prime so that many imprimitive groups turn up.

2. Step 2 consists of finding the \mathbf{Z} -classes of the groups determined at step 1 which was done by means of electronic computation using the centering algorithm developed in [6]. Let us describe the algorithm in module theoretic terms. Let L and M be \mathbf{Q} -equivalent $\mathbf{Z}G$ -representation modules, i.e. $\mathbf{Q}L \cong_{\mathbf{Q}G} \mathbf{Q}M$, then M is \mathbf{Z} -equivalent to a submodule M' of L of finite index in L . One can

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 20C10.

Key words and phrases. Integral matrix groups.

Copyright © 1976, American Mathematical Society