# THE DECISION PROBLEM FOR RECURSIVELY ENUMERABLE DEGREES 

BY J. R. SHOENFIELD

If I have any message today for mathematicians in general, it is that consideration of difficult problems can be useful even when the problem is at present beyond solution. The problem I will discuss is unlikely to be solved in the near future, but I hope to show how the study of it leads to many more accessible problems.

In order to state the problem, we need some definitions. To save words, we agree that number means natural number (nonnegative integer) and set means set of numbers.

A set $A$ is recursive if there is an algorithm for determining whether any given number is in $A$. A set $A$ is recursive in a set $B$ if there is an algorithm by which we can decide whether any given number $x$ is in $A$, provided we are supplied with answers to all questions we choose to ask of the form 'Is $y$ in B?'.

As an example, let $A=\{2 x: x \in B\}$. Then $B$ is recursive in $A$; for $x \in B$ iff $2 x \in A$. Also $A$ is recursive in $B$; for $x \in A$ iff $x$ is even and $\frac{1}{2} x \in B$. (All this is independent of the choice of $B$.)

Writing $A \leqq_{R} B$ for $A$ is recursive in $B$, we easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \leqq{ }_{R} A, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \leqq \leqq_{R} B \& B \leqq_{R} C \rightarrow A \leqq_{R} C . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course $A \leqq_{R} B \& B \leqq_{R} A$ does not imply $A=B$, as the above example shows. However, if we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sim B \text { iff } A \leqq_{R} B \& B \leqq_{R} A, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1) and (2) show that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. The equivalence class of $A$ is the degree of $A$; it is written $\operatorname{dg}(A)$. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dg}(A) \leqq \operatorname{dg}(B) \text { iff } A \leqq{ }_{R} B \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see from (1) and (2) that the set of degrees is a partially ordered set $D$.
The study of degrees was initiated by Kleene and Post [2] who observed two simple facts. (A) There is a smallest degree 0 ; it is the degree of every recursive set. (B) Every pair $a, b$ of degrees has a least upper bound $a \cup b$. In fact, $\operatorname{dg}(A) \cup \operatorname{dg}(B)=\operatorname{dg}(A \oplus B)$, where $A \oplus B=\{2 x: x \in A\} \cup\{2 x+1: x \in B\}$.

The main content of [2] and several subsequent papers is that $D$ has very few nice properties other than (A) and (B). For example, it is shown in [2] that $D$ is not a linearly ordered set, or even a lattice. In [11] it is shown that no strictly increasing sequence of degrees has a least upper bound.
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