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> AbSTRACT. We give a negative solution to a problem raised by Brezis and Pazy in the theory of nonlinear semigroups by relating it to a nonassociative phenomenon in the theory of addition of unbounded operators.

In their study of nonlinear contraction semigroups, Brezis and Pazy [1, p. 260] state the following problem:
"Let $A, B$ and $A+B$ be maximal monotone sets and $F(t), G(t) \in$ Cont $(C)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(I+(\lambda / t)(I-F(t)))^{-1} x=(I+\lambda A)^{-1} x  \tag{1}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(I+(\lambda / t)(I-G(t)))^{-1} x=(I+\lambda B)^{-1} x \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $\lambda>0, x \in C$. Does

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(I+(\lambda / t)(I-F(t) G(t)))^{-1} x=(I+\lambda(A+B))^{-1} x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for every $\lambda>0, x \in C$ ?"
Here $C$ is a closed convex set in a Hilbert space and $\operatorname{Cont}(C)$ is the set of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself. Monotone sets are related to the (possibly multi-valued) generators of nonlinear contraction semigroups; however, in this note we will work only with linear semigroups, so we omit the detailed definition.

The answer to the above question is no, even in the linear theory, and even if $B$ is assumed to be 0 . It is quite interesting that this negative result is due to the failure of the associative law in generalized addition of operators; for this see [3].

To make the connection with product formulas, we note that (1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F(t / n)^{n} x=e^{t A} x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$, uniformly on compact $t$ intervals. For present purposes we require this only for linear operators; $e^{t A}$ is the $\left(C_{0}\right)$ contraction semigroup generated by $A$. This result is discussed in [2] and [4, Theorem IX, 3.6]; a nonlinear version is [1, Theorem 3.4]. In [2] it is shown that (4) holds if $A$ is the closure of the strong derivative $F^{\prime}(0)$, generalizing the original theorem of Trotter [5].
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