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Introduction and results. Consider the parabolic operator in divergence form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u=u_{t}-\left\{a_{i j}(x, t) u_{x_{i}}\right\}_{x_{j}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have employed the convention of summation over repeated indices. Here $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ denotes a point in $E^{n}$ with $n \geqq 1$ and $t$ denotes a point on the real line. Assume that the coefficients of (1) are bounded measurable functions of ( $x, t$ ) in $S=E^{n} \times(-1,2 T)$ for some $T>0$ and that there is a constant $\lambda>0$ such that $a_{i j}(x, t) z_{i} z_{j} \geqq \lambda|z|^{2}$ almost everywhere in $S$ for all $z \in E^{n}$.

Let $G$ be a bounded domain in $E^{n} \times(0, T)$. We prove that to each function $f \in C(\partial G)$ there corresponds a weak solution $u$ of the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
L u & =0, & & \text { in } G \\
u(x, t) & =f(x, t), & & \text { on } \partial G . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The precise definition of weak solution is given below; here it suffices to know that $u \in C(G)$. The notion of regularity of a boundary point is defined in the usual way: a point $(y, s) \in \partial G$ is said to be regular for $L$ in $G$ if, for each $f \in C(\partial G)$, the corresponding solution $u$ of (2) satisfies

$$
\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow(\nu, s) ;(x, t) \in G} u(x, t)=f(y, s) .
$$

D. G. Aronson [1] has shown that every operator of the form (1) possesses a fundamental solution $g(x, t ; \xi, \tau)$ and this fundamental solution satisfies
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