REGULAR NEIGHBORHOODS ARE NOT TOPOLOGICALLY INVARIANT

BY MITSUYOSHI KATO

Communicated by W. Browder, May 1, 1968

In [5], Siebenmann and Sondow have shown that there exist topologically equivalent PL(n+3, n+1)-sphere pairs for $n \ge 2$ that are combinatorially distinct. In this note, combining their analysis of strong *h*-cobordisms of certain higher dimensional knots and isoneighboring theorem due to Noguchi [3] and [4], we show the following:

THEOREM. Assume $n = even \ge 2$. Then there exist infinitely many combinatorially distinct PL(n+3, n+1)-manifold pairs (V_k, K_k) , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, that are not abstract regular neighborhoods but topologically equivalent to an abstract regular neighborhood (V_0, K_0) .

REMARK. Each submanifold K_k is a PL(n+1)-sphere which is 1-flat in V_k with only one singularity. (For 1-flat embeddings and singularities, see [3].)

An implication of the Theorem is that regular neighborhoods are not topologically invariant. More explicitly we may say:

COROLLARY. The collapsing is not topologically invariant.

We note here that (V_k, K_k) and (V_0, K_0) have the vanishing Whitehead torsion, since K_0 is simply connected. However, in the subsequent paper [2], we shall show that the topological invariance of Whitehead torsions is equivalent to that of regular neighborhoods of polyhedra in the sufficiently high-dimensional euclidean space.

1. The construction. In the following, we shall use the notations in [5]. However, we shall be concerned mainly with the combinatorial (or PL) objects. By a PL *n*-knot we shall mean a PL(n+2, n)sphere pair (S^{n+2}, L^n) such that L^n has a collar neighborhood $(L^n \times D^2)$ in S^{n+2} [1] and [4].

LEMMA 1. Assume $n = even \ge 2$. Then there exist infinitely many invertible strong h-cobordisms of PL n-knots

 $c_k = ((W_k, M_k); (S_0, L_0), (S_k, L_k)), k = 1, 2, \cdots, such that$

(1) (S_k, L_k) and (S_0, L_0) are combinatorially equivalent,

(2) $\pi_1(S_0-L_0)\cong J\times G$, where J and G are the infinite cyclic group and the binary icosahedral group, respectively, and