# THE IMPOSSIBILITY OF FILLING $E^{n}$ WITH ARCS 

BY STEPHEN L. JONES ${ }^{1}$<br>Communicated by R. H. Bing, August 17, 1967

The purpose of this paper is to outline a proof of the following
Main Theorem. Iff is a closed continuous map of $E^{n}$ onto any space $S$, then some point in $S$ has an inverse image which is not an arc.

In 1936 J. H. Roberts [1] showed that there does not exist an upper semicontinuous (usc) collection of arcs filling the plane. Recently L. B. Treybig [2] has obtained some partial results for polygonal arcs in $E^{n}$. In 1955 Eldon Dyer [3] outlined a proof that there is no continuous decomposition of $E^{n}$ into arcs. This proof incorporates some of the ideas of both Roberts and Dyer.

We will suppose that all statements are for $E^{n}$ for a given $n$.
Definitions. If $U$ and $V$ are sets with disjoint closures, we say that an $\operatorname{arc} \alpha$ has $k$ folds between $U$ and $V$ if $\alpha$ contains $k+1$ disjoint subarcs between $U$ and $V$. Furthermore, if the distance between each pair of the $k+1$ subarcs is greater than $\epsilon$, we say that the width of the folds is greater than $\epsilon$. If $\alpha$ contains a subarc which has endpoints in $U$ and which intersects $V$, then $\alpha$ is said to have a fold with the bend in $V$.

If $K$ is a set, $\epsilon>0$, let $N_{\epsilon}(K)$ denote the open $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $K$ in $E^{n}$. If $H$ is a collection of sets, let $H^{*}$ denote the set of all points covered by elements of $H$.

Suppose $A$ is compact and $B$ is a closed subset of $A$. If any two points of $E^{n}-A$ which are separated by $A$ are also separated by $B$, then $B$ is said to be essential in $A$. If $H$ is a usc collection of arcs and points filling $A$ and $B$ intersects each element of $H$, then $B$ is said to be full in $A^{H}$. If $B$ meets each element of $H$ in a continuum, then $B$ is said to be a quasi-section of $A^{H}$.

Assume $H$ is a usc collection of arcs and points filling the compact set $X$.

Lemma 1. If $Y$ is a quasi-section of $X^{\boldsymbol{H}}$ then $Y$ is essential in $X$.
The proof is an exercise in the Vietoris mapping theorem on the Cech homologies of $X, Y$, and the decomposition space.

Lemma 2. If $K$ is full in $X^{H}, U$ is open, $\bar{U} \cap K=\varnothing$, and no element
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