## CONSTRUCTIVE PROOF OF THE EXISTENCE OF MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONALS IN COMMU-TATIVE SEPARABLE BANACH ALGEBRAS

## BY H. G. GARNIR, M. DE WILDE AND J. SCHMETS

## Communicated by H. Helson, Feb. 15, 1967

Gelfand's 1941 proof of the existence of multiplicative functionals in commutative Banach algebras is essentially based on Zorn's axiom.

In 1961, P. J. Cohen [3] gave a constructive (i.e. free from Zorn's axiom) way to get rid of Banach algebras in some of their applications.

This year, E. Bishop [1], [2] has presented a theory of Banach algebras in the frame of L. E. J. Brouwer's constructivist ideas. Therefrom it is easy to deduce a constructive proof of the existence of multiplicative functionals. However this proof would be needlessly intricate when just interested in constructive methods.

Here is a simple constructive proof of Gelfand's theorem.

1. Let A be a commutative separable Banach algebra with unit 1 throughout the paper.

Let us recall some properties of ideals of A.

(a) 0, A,  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i A$  and  $3 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i A$  are ideals of A whenever  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in A$  and 3 is an ideal of A.

(b) If an ideal 5 contains an invertible element, then 5 = A.

(c) Let  $3 \neq A$  be an ideal, then d[1, 3] = 1.

Since  $0 \in 3$ ,  $d[1, 3] \leq 1$ . Moreover if d[1, 3] < 1, there exists  $x_0 \in 3$  such that  $d[1, x_0] < 1$ . Then  $x_0^{-1}$  exists and consequently  $1 = x_0 x_0^{-1}$  belongs to 3.

(d) Let  $3 \neq A$  be an ideal. If  $1 - xy \in 3$ , then  $d[x, 3] \ge 1/||y||$ .

In fact,  $3 \neq A$  implies d[1, 3] = 1 and since  $1 - xy \in 3$ , we have d[xy, 3] = 1 and  $d[xy, 3] \leq d[xy, y3] \leq ||y|| d[x, 3]$ .

2. We need a lemma, which is a direct version of the classical fact that the spectrum of the Banach algebra E/A is not void.

Let  $5 \neq A$  be an ideal. Then for all  $x \in A$ , there exists  $z \in C$  such that  $3+(x-z)A \neq A$ .

Suppose there exists an ideal  $5 \neq A$  and  $x \in A$  such that 5 + (x-z)A = A for all  $z \in C$ .

Then for all  $z \in C$ , there is at least one element  $a(z) \in A$  with  $1 - (x-z)a(z) \in 3$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{x}$  be any continuous linear functional in A vanishing on  $\mathfrak{I}$ .

(a)  $\mathfrak{x}[a(z)]$  depends only on  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and not on the choice of a(z).