

A GEOMETRIC PROOF OF RYLL-NARDZEWSKI'S FIXED POINT THEOREM

BY I. NAMIOKA AND E. ASPLUND

Communicated by Victor Klee, January 23, 1967

In [4], Ryll-Nardzewski gave what he called an 'old-fashioned' proof of his famous fixed point theorem. The purpose of the present note is to give an even more old-fashioned proof of the fixed point theorem. In fact, our proof uses nothing more than a category argument and the classical Krein-Milman theorem. Our terminology and notation shall be those of Kelley, Namioka et al. [2]. The following geometric lemma is essential to our proof of Ryll-Nardzewski's fixed point theorem. In case the space E and the pseudo-norm p in the lemma are a Banach space and its norm respectively, the lemma is an easy consequence of Lindenstrauss' work [3].¹

LEMMA. *Let (E, \mathfrak{J}) be a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space, let K be a nonempty \mathfrak{J} -separable, weakly compact, convex subset of E , and let p be a continuous pseudo-norm on E . Then for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a closed convex subset C of K such that $C \neq K$ and $p\text{-diam}(K \sim C) \leq \epsilon$, where, for any subset X of E , $p\text{-diam}(X) = \sup \{p(x - y) : x, y \in X\}$.*

PROOF. Let $S = \{x : p(x) \leq \epsilon/4\}$; then S is a weakly closed convex body. Let D be the weak closure of the set of all extreme points of K . Since K is \mathfrak{J} -separable, a countable number of translates of S cover K and hence D . Since D is weakly compact, it is of the second category in itself with respect to the relative weak topology. Therefore there are a point k of K and a weakly open subset W of E such that $(S + k) \cap D \supset W \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Let K_1 be the closed convex hull of $D \sim W$, and let K_2 be the closed convex hull of $D \cap W$. Then, by the Krein-Milman theorem and the compactness of K_1 and K_2 , K is the convex hull of $K_1 \cup K_2$. Furthermore $K_1 \neq K$. For, otherwise, by Theorem 15.2 of [2], $D \sim W$ would contain all the extreme points of K , contradicting the fact that $W \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Obviously $p\text{-diam}(K_2) \leq \epsilon/2$. Now let r be a real number in $(0, 1]$ and let f_r be the map $K_1 \times K_2 \times [r, 1] \rightarrow K$ defined by $f_r(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$. Then clearly the image C_r of f_r is weakly closed, and it is easy to check that

¹ After the draft of the present note was completed we learned that Professor J. L. Kelley knew independently that a lemma of this sort was needed for a proof of Ryll-Nardzewski's fixed point theorem. Thus he was able to give a short proof of the fixed point theorem for Banach spaces using Lindenstrauss' result.