

ON THE GALOIS THEORY OF INSEPARABLE EXTENSIONS

BY MURRAY GERSTENHABER¹

Communicated by N. Jacobson, March 9, 1964

Let K be a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$ and $\text{Der } K$ denote the set of all derivations of K into itself, i.e., of additive maps ϕ of K into itself such that $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)y + x\phi(y)$ for all x and y in K . Then $\text{Der } K$ is (1) a vector space over K , (2) closed under the formation of p th powers, i.e., ϕ in $\text{Der } K$ implies ϕ^p is in $\text{Der } K$, and (3) a Lie subring of the ring of additive endomorphisms of K , i.e., ϕ, ψ in $\text{Der } K$ imply $\phi\psi - \psi\phi$ is in $\text{Der } K$. A theorem of Jacobson [6] gives a relationship between the subfields k of K with $K^p \subset k$ and $[K:k] < \infty$, and "restricted" Lie subrings of $\text{Der } K$, which are finite-dimensional vector spaces over K , i.e., the subsets D satisfying (1), (2), and (3) with $\dim_K D < \infty$. Indeed, given such a k , then the set $\text{Der}_k K$ of those derivations of K into itself which vanish on k is clearly a restricted Lie subring of finite dimension over K , and if $[K:k] = p^m$, then one has $\dim_K(\text{Der}_k K) = m$. Conversely, Jacobson demonstrated that given a restricted subring D of $\text{Der } K$ which is a finite-dimensional vector space over K , and denoting by k the constant field of D , i.e., the set of all x in K such that $\phi(x) = 0$ for all ϕ in D , then in fact $D = \text{Der}_k K$, whence if $\dim_K D = m$, then $[K:k] = p^m$. If ϕ is in $\text{Der}_k K$ then we say that ϕ is a derivation "over k ."

It is remarkable that from the hypotheses of Jacobson's theorem one may delete the assumption that D be a Lie subring of $\text{Der } K$. In fact, if we define a *restricted subspace* of $\text{Der } K$ to be a subset which is a vector space over K and which is closed under the formation of p th powers, then one may assert: *If D is a finite-dimensional restricted subspace of $\text{Der } K$, and if k is the field consisting of all x in K such that $\phi(x) = 0$ for all ϕ in D , then $D = \text{Der}_k K$.* It follows a posteriori that D must be a Lie subring of $\text{Der } K$. The purpose of the present note is to give a simple proof of this strengthened result. For connections with other work, see the "concluding remarks."

1. Derivations of a field. Let K be a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$ and $\text{Der } K$ denote the set of derivations of K into itself. Given ϕ in $\text{Der } K$, the set of x in K such that $\phi(x) = 0$ forms a subfield K_ϕ of K called the constant field of ϕ ; if x is in K_ϕ then $\phi(xy) = x\phi(y)$ for all y in K , and conversely. We note that since $\phi(x^p) = 0$ for all x in K , we

¹ The author wishes to acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation under contract G-24412.