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1. Siegel's theorem. The following elegant theorem is due to C. L. Siegel [3; 4]:

Theorem 1. Let $\Theta$ denote a group of Möbius transformations mapping $\Delta=\{|z|<1\}$ onto itself which is properly discontinuous at each point of $\Delta$. If the common hyperbolic area of the associated Poincaré polygons is finite, then each Poincaré polygon associated with $\Theta$ has a finite number of sides, none on $C=\{|z|=1\}$.

A group $\Theta$ satisfying the hypothesis of Siegel is necessarily of the first kind. The question arises whether there is a theorem of the Siegel type for $\Theta$ of the second kind. It is one of the objects of the present investigation to establish such a theorem and to draw consequences of this result taken in conjunction with Siegel's theorem. The other object is to study the relation between the parabolic transformations of an unrestricted $\Theta$ and the cusps of an associated noneuclidean convex fundamental polygon (n.e.c.f.p.). To be precise, we understand by this term a set $\Pi(\subset \Delta)$ satisfying the following conditions: (1) it is non-euclidean convex, (2) every point of $\Delta$ is $\Theta$-equivalent to a point of $\Pi$, (3) no two distinct points of int $\Pi$ are $\Theta$-equivalent, (4) $\Pi$ is closed in the sense of the topology of $\Delta$, (5) for each point of $\Delta$ there exists a neighborhood intersecting $\tau(\Pi)$ for only a finite set of $\tau \in \Theta$, (6) (fr $\Pi$ ) $\cap \Delta$ is piecewise hyperbolic rectilinear. The Poincaré polygons are special cases of n.e.c.f.p. We shall refer to n.e.c.f.p. as fundamental polygons.
2. Reformulation of Siegel's theorem in terms of the quotient Riemann surface. We indicate how the condition of Siegel may be recast in terms independent of fundamental polygons.

If $\Theta$ is a group of the first kind, then the component containing $\Delta$ of the set of points at which $\Theta$ is properly discontinuous is $\Delta$. If $\Theta$ is of the second kind, the set of points at which $\Theta$ is properly discontinuous is connected and contains $\Delta$ properly. Let $\Omega$ denote $\Delta$ in the first case and the full set of points at which $\Theta$ is properly discontinuous in the second case. Let $\phi(z)=\{\tau(z) \mid \tau \in \Theta\}$, i.e. $\phi(z)$ is the orbit of a point $z$ with respect to $\Theta$. The image of $\Omega$ with respect to the
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