

# ON LINKED BINARY REPRESENTATIONS OF PAIRS OF INTEGERS: SOME THEOREMS OF THE ROMANOV TYPE<sup>1</sup>

BY G. J. RIEGER

Communicated by P. T. Bateman, March 7, 1963

**1. Introduction.** Let us denote by  $N$  the sequence  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , by  $p$  a prime, by  $(a, b)$  the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , by  $[a, b]$  the least common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ , by  $\{*: \dots\}$  resp.  $A\{*: \dots\}$  the set resp. number of  $*$  with the properties  $\dots$ , by  $\mu$  the Moebius function, by  $C$  an absolute positive constant and by  $C(*)$  a positive constant depending on  $*$  only.

Suppose  $N_j \subset N$  ( $j=1, 2, 3, 4$ ) and denote by  $y_1 \sim y_2$  an arbitrary relation (= linking) with  $y_{1,2} \in N$ . For instance,  $[y_1 \sim y_2] := [(y_1, y_2) = 1]$  resp.  $[y_1 \sim y_2] := [y_1 = y_2]$  can be considered a weak resp. strong linking. By a linked binary representation of a pair  $m, n$  with  $m \in N$  and  $n \in N$  we mean a solution  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  of the Diophantine system  $x_1 + x_2 = m \wedge x_3 + x_4 = n \wedge x_j \in N_j$  ( $j=1, 2, 3, 4$ )  $\wedge x_2 \sim x_4$ . Various generalizations are obvious (more summands, triples, etc.). We do not intend to give a detailed and general study of the questions arising in this context. We rather prefer to investigate two special problems of this type with  $\sim$  being  $=$ ; they are inspired by the following two well-known results of Romanov:

$$E_a := \{m: m = p + v^a \wedge p \text{ prime} \wedge v \in N\} \quad (1 < a \in N)$$

and

$$F_a := \{m: m = p + a^v \wedge p \text{ prime} \wedge v \in N\} \quad (a \in N)$$

have positive asymptotic density [1, pp. 63–70].

**2. On Romanov's first theorem.** Generalizing the result for  $E_a$ , we show that the set  $\{m, n: m = p_1 + v^a \wedge n = p_2 + v^a \wedge p_{1,2} \text{ prime} \wedge v \in N\}$ , considered as a set of lattice points in the plane, has positive asymptotic density in the plane:

**THEOREM 1.** *For  $1 < a \in N$  there exist constants  $C_1(a)$  and  $C_2(a)$  such that  $x > C_1(a)$  implies*

$$A_1(x, a) := A\{m, n: m < x \wedge n < x \wedge m = p_1 + v^a \wedge \\ n = p_2 + v^a \wedge p_{1,2} \text{ prime} \wedge v \in N\} > C_2(a) x^2.$$

---

<sup>1</sup> With support from NSF grant G-16305 to Purdue University.