## ON A NEW FUNCTIONAL TRANSFORM IN ANALYSIS: THE MAXIMUM TRANSFORM

## BY RICHARD BELLMAN AND WILLIAM KARUSH

Communicated by R. P. Boas, June 2, 1961

1. Introduction. In the study of mathematical economics and operations research, we encounter the problem of determining the maximum of the function

(1) 
$$F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N) = f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2) + \cdots + f_N(x_N)$$

over the region R defined by  $x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_N=x$ ,  $x_i\geq 0$ . Under various assumptions concerning the  $f_i$ , this problem can be studied analytically; cf. Karush [1; 2], and it can also be treated analytically by means of the theory of dynamic programming [3].

It is natural in this connection to introduce a "convolution" of two functions f and g, h=f\*g, defined by

(2) 
$$h(x) = \max_{0 \le y \le x} [f(y) + g(x - y)].$$

For purposes of general study, it is more convenient to introduce instead the convolution  $h=f\otimes g$  defined by

(3) 
$$h(x) = \max_{0 \le y \le x} [f(y)g(x-y)].$$

It is easy to see that the operation  $\otimes$  is commutative and associative provided that all functions involved are nonnegative. By analogy with the relation between the Laplace transform and the usual convolution,  $\int_0^x f(y)g(x-y)dy$ , it is natural to seek a functional transform

$$(4) M(f) = F$$

with the property that

(5) 
$$M(f \otimes g) = M(f)M(g),$$

that is,

(6) 
$$H(z) = F(z)G(z)$$

where H, F, G are the transforms of h, f, g respectively.

We shall show that M exists and has a very simple form. In addition,  $M^{-1}$  has a very simple and elegant representation in a number of cases. More detailed discussions and extensions will be presented subsequently.