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1. Introduction. If $X$ and $Y$ are any spaces and if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ are maps such that $g f \simeq 1$, then $g$ is called a left homotopy inverse of $f$ and $f$ a right homotopy inverse ${ }^{1}$ of $g$. In this case we shall say that $Y$ dominates ${ }^{2} X$. If $Y$ dominates $X$ and $Z$ dominates $Y$ then it is easily verified that $Z$ dominates $X$. If $g$ is both a right and left homotopy inverse of $f$ it is called a homotopy inverse of $f$ and $f$ will be called a homotopy equivalence. Thus the assertion that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence claims that $X$ and $Y$ are of the same homotopy type and, moreover, that $f$ has a homotopy inverse.

Two maps, $f_{0}, f_{1}: X \rightarrow Y$ are said (cf. [1, pp. 49, 50] and [2, p. 344]) to be $n$-homotopic if, and only if, $f_{0} \phi \simeq f_{1} \phi$ for every map, $\phi: P \rightarrow X$, of every (finite) polyhedron, $P$, of at most $n$ dimensions. By an $n$-homotopy inverse of a map, $f: X \rightarrow Y$, or an $n$-homotopy equivalence we mean the same as a homotopy inverse or a homotopy equivalence with homotopy replaced by $n$-homotopy throughout the definition.

By a CR-space we shall mean a connected compactum, which is an ANR (absolute neighborhood retract). Any CR-space, $X$, is dominated by a finite simplicial complex [5, Theorems $12.2,16.2, \mathrm{pp} .93$, 99], even if its dimensionality is infinite. We shall use $\Delta X$ to denote the minimum dimensionality of all (finite, simplicial) complexes which dominate $X$. Then $\Delta X \leqq \operatorname{dim} X$ and we may think of $\Delta X$ as a kind of "quasi-dimensionality," noticing, however, that $\Delta X$ may be less than $\operatorname{dim} X$, even if $X$ is itself a finite polyhedron.

Let $X, Y$ be CR-spaces, and let $N=\max (\Delta X, \Delta Y)$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a given map and let $f_{n}: \pi_{n}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{n}(Y)$ be the homomorphism induced by $f$. If $f$ is a homotopy equivalence then $f_{n}$ is an isomorphism onto for each $n \geqq 1$. In §3 below we prove a sharper theorem than the converse, namely:

Theorem 1. If $f_{n}: \pi_{n}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{n}(Y)$ is an isomorphism onto for each $n=1, \cdots, N$, then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence. ${ }^{3}$

[^0]
[^0]:    Received by the editors January 26, 1948.
    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. [1]. Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this case the homomorphisms $H_{n}(Y) \rightarrow H_{n}(X)$ induced by $g: Y \rightarrow X$ are all onto, likewise the induced homomorphisms $\pi_{n}(Y) \rightarrow \pi_{n}(X)$, assuming $X, Y$ to be arcwise connected. In fact $H_{n}(Y)$, or $\pi_{n}(Y)(n \geqq 2)$, may be represented as the direct sum of $H_{n}(X)$, or $\pi_{n}(X)$, and the kernel of this homomorphism.
    ${ }^{3}$ If $X$ and $Y$ are of the same homotopy type, then each dominates the other and $\Delta X=\Delta Y$. Theorem 1 is formulated with a view to applications in which it is possible to calculate separate upper bounds for $\Delta X, \Delta Y$ (for example, $\operatorname{dim} X, \operatorname{dim} Y$ ).

