## ON RINGS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

## LIPMAN BERS

Let $D$ be a domain in the complex plane (Riemann sphere) and $R(D)$ the totality of one-valued regular analytic functions defined in $D$. With the usual definitions of addition and multiplication $R(D)$ becomes a commutative ring (in fact, a domain of integrity). A one-to-one conformal transformation $\zeta=\phi(z)$ of $D$ onto a domain $\Delta$ induces an isomorphism $f \rightarrow f^{*}$ between $R(D)$ and $R(\Delta): f(z)=f^{*}[\phi(z)]$. An anti-conformal transformation

$$
\zeta=\overline{\phi(z)}
$$

also induces an isomorphism:

$$
\overline{f(z)}=f^{*}[\overline{\phi(z)}]
$$

The purpose of this note is to prove the converses of these statements.
Theorem I. If $R(D)$ is isomorphic to $R(\Delta)$, then there exists either a conformal or an anti-conformal transformation which maps $D$ onto $\Delta .{ }^{1}$

Theorem II. If $D$ and $\Delta$ possess boundary points, then every isomorphism between $R(D)$ and $R(\Delta)$ is induced by a conformal or an anticonformal transformation of $D$ onto $\Delta$.

Theorem I may be regarded as a complex variable analogue of theorems characterizing a topological space in terms of the family of its continuous functions. If $R(D)$ is made into a topological ring by defining $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ to mean that $f_{n}(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ uniformly in every bounded closed subset of $D$, then Theorem II implies that, except for a trivial special case, every isomorphism between $R(D)$ and $R(\Delta)$ is of necessity a homeomorphism.

To prove the theorems we consider a fixed isomorphism between $R(D)$ and $R(\Delta)$. It takes a function $f(z), z \in D$, into a function $f^{*}(\zeta)$, $\zeta \in \Delta$, a set $S \subset R(D)$ into a set $S^{*} \subset R(\Delta)$. Let $c$ be a complex constant.

[^0]
[^0]:    Presented to the Society, November 2, 1946; received by the editors May 27, 1947.
    ${ }^{1}$ After this paper was completed the author learned about a closely related unpublished result which was obtained by C. Chevalley and S. Kakutani several years ago. Chevalley and Kakutani proved that if to each boundary point $W$ of $B$ there exists a bounded analytic function defined in $B$ and possessing at $W$ a singularity then $B$ is determined (modulo a conformal transformation) by the ring of all bounded analytic functions. The author is indebted to Professor Chevalley for the opportunity of reading a draft of the paper containing the proof.

