

The proof of Theorem 10.3 is similar, but with obvious modifications.

REFERENCES

1. Tibor Radó, *On convex functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 37 (1935) pp. 266-285.
2. H. B. Dwight, *Tables of integrals and other mathematical data*, Macmillan, 1934.
3. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, vol. 2, Berlin, Springer, 1925.
4. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge, 1934.

EMMANUEL MISSIONARY COLLEGE

A SIMPLE SUFFICIENT CONDITION THAT A METHOD OF SUMMABILITY BE STRONGER THAN CONVERGENCE

RALPH PALMER AGNEW

1. **Introduction.** A matrix a_{nk} of real or complex constants determines a transformation

$$(1) \quad \sigma_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} s_k$$

and a method A of summability by means of which a given sequence s_1, s_2, \dots is summable to σ if the series in (1) converge and define numbers $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$ such that $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If a sequence s_n is summable A , we say that $A \{s_n\}$ exists and that s_n belongs to the summability field of A . If s_n is summable A to σ , we say that $A \{s_n\} = \sigma$. The method A is regular if $A \{s_n\} = \lim s_n$ whenever $\lim s_n$ exists.

Toeplitz [1911] (reference in bibliography at end of this paper) proved that A is regular if and only if the three conditions

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \leq M, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 1$$