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1. Introduction. It is our purpose in this paper to show how algebraic symbolism can be applied to the problem of enumerating certain types of restricted permutations. The method rests on a symbolic interpretation of the method of inclusion and exclusion, or rather its probability analogue, the formula of Poincaré; the use of the terminology of probability is a matter of convenience only, the considerations being purely combinatorial. The problems considered are of two kinds: those where the restriction of position of elements is absolute ( $\S 3$, "card-matching" problems), and those where elements are restricted relative to others ( $(\S 5,6)$. In $\S 4$ approximations to the symbolic formulas are obtained. Though these problems are rather special in character, the foundations of the method ( $\$ 2$ ) are quite general and should find other applications.
2. Symbolic expressions. Consider $n$ events $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}$ and let $p\left(A_{i_{1}} \cdots A_{i_{k}}\right)$ denote the probability of the joint occurrence of $A_{i_{1}} \cdots A_{i_{k}}$. The probability that none of $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}$ occurs, which we shall denote by $P_{0}$, is given by Poincare's formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=1-\sum p\left(A_{i}\right)+\sum p\left(A_{i} A_{i}\right) \cdots . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of complete symmetry, that is, where each $P\left(A_{i_{1}} \cdots A_{i_{k}}\right)$ is a function $\phi_{k}$ of $k$ alone,

$$
P_{0}=1-n \phi_{1}+{ }_{n} C_{2} \phi_{2}-\cdots .
$$

By using the displacement operator $E$ defined by $E^{k} \phi_{0}=\phi_{k}$, we may write more compactly $P_{0}=(1-E)^{n} \phi_{0}$.
In the cases which we shall consider, however, this complete symmetry will be lacking and instead we shall have the following partial substitute, which we shall call quasi-symmetry: $p\left(A_{i_{1}} \cdots A_{i_{k}}\right)$ is either equal to zero or to a function $\phi_{k}$ of $k$ alone. To evaluate $P_{0}$ for such cases a symbolic device of Broderick [2] ${ }^{1}$ is helpful; we may write (1) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=\left(1-p A_{1}\right)\left(1-p A_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-p A_{n}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the multiplication is symbolic in the sense that $p\left(A_{i}\right) p\left(A_{j}\right) \cdots$
${ }^{1}$ Numbers in brackets refer to the Bibliography at the end of the paper.

