

# LAMBERT SUMMABILITY OF ORTHOGONAL SERIES

RICHARD BELLMAN

If we define Lambert summability of a series,  $\sum_1^\infty a_n$ , in terms of the existence of the limit

$$(1) \quad L(a_n) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1-0} (1-x) \sum_1^\infty \frac{na_n x^n}{1-x^n}$$

we have, by a well known theorem of Hardy-Littlewood [1],<sup>1</sup> that  $C(a_n) \rightarrow L(a_n) \rightarrow A(a_n)$ ;  $C(a_n)$ ,  $A(a_n)$  are respectively the Cesàro and Abel means of the series  $\sum_1^\infty a_n$ .

The proof of  $C(a_n) \rightarrow L(a_n)$  is elementary in nature, but the proof of  $L(a_n) \rightarrow A(a_n)$  requires the prime number theorem, and conversely the theorem  $L(a_n) \rightarrow A(a_n)$  implies the prime number theorem.

For that reason, it is perhaps interesting to show that for orthogonal series of functions  $f(x)$ , belonging to  $L^2$ , the inclusion of  $L(a_n)$  between  $C(a_n)$  and  $A(a_n)$  follows in completely elementary fashion.

That  $C(a_n) \sim A(a_n)$  for orthogonal series of  $L^2$  is a known result of Kaczmarz [2]. Hence it is sufficient to show that  $L(a_n) \rightarrow C(a_n)$ . In addition, it is further known that  $C(a_n)$  is equivalent to the convergence of the partial sums of the orthogonal series  $s_{2^n}(\theta) = \sum_1^{2^n} a_k \phi_k(\theta)$  [3]. Therefore, finally, it comes to showing that Lambert summability implies the convergence of the partial sums  $s_{2^n}(\theta)$ , in order to prove the theorem.

Let  $f(\theta) \in L^2(a, b)$ ,  $a_n = \int_a^b f(\theta) \phi_n(\theta) d\theta$ ; where  $(\phi_n(\theta))$  is an orthonormal sequence in  $(a, b)$ ,  $s_n(\theta) = \sum_1^n a_n \phi_n(\theta)$ .

Write, where  $x$  is  $1 - 1/2^n$ ,

$$(2) \quad U_n(\theta) = \sum_1^\infty k a_k \phi_k(\theta) \frac{(1-x)x^k}{1-x^k} - s_{2^n}(\theta) = T_n(\theta) + V_n(\theta)$$

where

$$(3) \quad T_n(\theta) = \sum_1^{2^n} a_k \phi_k(\theta) \left( \frac{k(1-x)x^k}{1-x^k} - 1 \right),$$

$$(4) \quad V_n(\theta) = \sum_{2^{n+1}}^\infty k a_k \phi_k(\theta) \frac{(1-x)x^k}{1-x^k}.$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n(\theta) = 0$ , the result is proven. To that end, consider the

---

Received by the editors June 10, 1943.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references listed at the end of the paper.