## SOME THEOREMS ON THE EULER $\phi$ -FUNCTION

N. G. GUNDERSON

The Euler  $\phi$ -function,  $\phi(m)$ , denotes the number of positive integers not greater than m which are relatively prime to m.<sup>1</sup> It was noted by U. Scarpis<sup>2</sup> that  $n | \phi(p^n - 1)$ . Generalizations of this result are obtained in Theorems 9 and 10.

The first five theorems are either well known or self-evident.<sup>3</sup>

THEOREM 1. If  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are the distinct prime factors of m, then

$$\phi(m) = m(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_k - 1)/p_1p_2 \cdots p_k.$$

THEOREM 2. If  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  are relatively prime in pairs, then

$$\phi(a_1 \cdots a_k) = \phi(a_1) \cdot \phi(a_2) \cdots \phi(a_k).$$

THEOREM 3. If w is the product of the distinct prime factors common to m and n, then

$$\phi(mn) = w \cdot \phi(m) \cdot \phi(n) / \phi(w).$$

THEOREM 4. If  $a \mid b$ , then  $\phi(a) \mid \phi(b)$ .

THEOREM 5. If  $q \mid a \text{ and } q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{\alpha}}$ , then  $p^{\alpha} \mid \phi(a)$ .

THEOREM 6. If p is an odd prime,  $a \neq b$ , and  $\alpha \geq 1$ , then

$$p^{2\alpha-1} | \phi(a^{p^{\alpha}} + b^{p^{\alpha}}).$$

The proof is by induction on  $\alpha$ . We assume a > b. In the notation of Birkhoff and Vandiver,<sup>4</sup>  $a^p + b^p = V_{2p}/V_p$ . By their Theorems V and I, there is a prime divisor q of  $a^p + b^p$  such that  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ unless p = 3, a = 2, b = 1. Then by Theorem 5,  $p | \phi(a^p + b^p)$ , and in the exceptional case,  $3 | \phi(2^3 + 1^3)$ . Thus the theorem holds for  $\alpha = 1$ , starting the induction, so we assume it for all positive integers less than  $\alpha$ . We adopt the notation C = AB, where

$$C = a^{p^{\alpha}} + b^{p^{\alpha}}, \qquad P = p^{\alpha-1}, \qquad A = a^{p} + b^{p},$$
  
$$B = a^{(p-1)P} - a^{(p-2)P} \cdot b^{P} + \cdots - a^{P} \cdot b^{(p-2)P} + b^{(p-1)P}.$$

Received by the editors June 12, 1942.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this discussion all letters represent positive integers. In particular, p and q represent primes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Period. Mat. vol. 29 (1913) p. 138.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, for example, L. E. Dickson, History of the theory of numbers, vol. 1, chap. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 5 (1903) pp. 173-180.