## ON AN INEQUALITY OF SEIDEL AND WALSH
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Introduction. In a recent paper ${ }^{1}$ Seidel and Walsh introduced the following concepts.

Let $R$ be a Riemann surface (configuration) lying over the $w$-plane, and let $C_{p}$ be a simply-connected region of $R$ having the following properties:
(a) $C_{p}$ contains precisely $p$ points (counted according to branchpoint multiplicity) lying over some point of the $w$-plane.
(b) $C_{p}$ lies over the circle $\left|w-w_{0}\right|<r$, and the boundary of $C_{p}$ lies over the circumference $\left|w-w_{0}\right|=r$.

It follows that $C_{p}$ contains precisely $p$ points lying over every point of $\left|w-w_{0}\right|<r$, and in particular, $p$ points $\bar{w}_{i}$ lying over $w_{0}$. Seidel and Walsh name such a region a $p$-sheeted circle with centers $\bar{w}_{i}$ and radius $r$. Given a point $\bar{w}_{0}$ of $R$, let $r_{p}$ be the radius of the largest $p$-sheeted circle in $R$ with center $\bar{w}_{0}$; if none exists, let $r_{p}=0$. We then define the radius of $p$-valence of $R$ at $\bar{w}_{0}, D_{p}\left(\bar{w}_{0}\right)$, as the maximum of the $r_{n}$ for $n \leqq p$.

Let $w=f(z)=a_{1} z+\cdots+a_{p} z^{p}+a_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\cdots$ be analytic in the unit circle $|z|<1$ with $|f(z)|<M$, and let the Riemann surface $R$ be the image of $|z|<1$ under $w=f(z)$. Let $\bar{w}_{0}$ be the image of $z=0$; $\bar{w}_{0}$ lies over $w=0$. Seidel and Walsh establish the following relation between the first $p$ coefficients of $f(z)$ and the radius of $p$-valence, $D_{p}\left(\bar{w}_{0}\right)$, of $R$ at $\bar{w}_{0}$.

There exist two constants, $\lambda_{p}$ depending only on $p$, and $\Lambda_{p}$ depending on $p$ and $M$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p} D_{p}\left(\bar{w}_{0}\right) \leqq \sum_{n=1}^{p}\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq \Lambda_{p}\left[D_{p}\left(\bar{w}_{0}\right)\right]^{2^{-p}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Seidel and Walsh find for $\Lambda_{p}$ the value

$$
\Lambda_{p}=24 p M^{r}, \quad r=1-2^{-p}
$$

In this note we prove the following two statements concerning the inequalities (1).
A. The exponent $2^{-p}$ may be replaced by $1 /(p+1)$ and this exponent is the best possible (for $\left.D_{p} \rightarrow 0\right)$.
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