The corresponding expression for what I call the type A derivative—based on another, but equally logical definition—is merely the first term of the above expression.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

ON THE ASYMPTOTIC LINES OF A RULED SURFACE

GUIDO FUBINI

Many mathematicians have studied the surfaces every asymptotic curve of which belongs to a linear complex. I will here be content with the results given on pages 112–116 and 266–288 of a treatise¹ written by myself and Professor A. Cech. This treatise gives (p. 113) a very simple proof of the following theorem:

If every non-rectilinear asymptotic curve of a ruled surface S belongs to a linear complex, all these asymptotic curves are projective to each other.

We will find all the ruled surfaces, the non-rectilinear asymptotic curves of which are projective to each other, and prove conversely that every one of these asymptotic curves belongs to a linear complex. If c, c' are two of these asymptotic curves and if A is an arbitrary point of c, we can find on c' a point A' such that the straight line AA' is a straight generatrix of S. The projectivity, which, according to our hypothesis, transforms c into c', will carry A into a point A_1 of c'. We will prove that the two points A' and A_1 are identical; but this theorem is not obvious and therefore our demonstration cannot be very simple. The generalization to nonruled surfaces seems to be rather complicated: and we do not occupy ourselves here with such a generalization.

If the point x = x(u, v) generates a ruled surface S, for which u = const. and v = const. are asymptotic curves, we can suppose (loc. cit., p. 182)

$$(1) x = y + uz$$

in which y and z are functions of v. More clearly, if x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , x_4 are homogeneous projective coordinates of a point of S, we can find eight functions y_i and z_i of v such that

$$(1_{bis}) x_i = y_i(v) + uz_i(v), i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

From the general theory of surfaces, it is known (loc. cit., p. 90) that

¹ Geometria Proiettiva Differenziale, Bologna, Zanichelli.