## ON THE COMPLETENESS OF A CERTAIN METRIC SPACE WITH AN APPLICATION TO BLASCHKE'S SELECTION THEOREM<sup>1</sup>

## G. BALEY PRICE

1. Introduction. The purpose of this note is to prove that the metric space whose elements are the closed, bounded, non-null subsets of a complete metric space, and whose metric is the Hausdorff distance, is complete; and, using this result and others already known, to give a simple proof of Blaschke's selection theorem.

2. **Preliminaries.** Let K be a metric space with elements  $x, y, \cdots$  and distance function d(x, y). A sequence  $x_1, x_2, \cdots$  in K such that  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$  converges has been called an absolutely convergent sequence by MacNeille<sup>2</sup> [7, p. 192]. Every absolutely convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence, and every Cauchy sequence contains absolutely convergent subsequences.

Let  $K^*$  be a metric space whose elements  $X, Y, \cdots$  are the closed, bounded, and non-null subsets of K, and whose distance function D(X, Y) is the Hausdorff distance between the sets X and Y (see Hausdorff [5, pp. 145–146] and Kuratowski [6, pp. 89–90]).

## 3. The theorem. If K is complete, then $K^*$ is also complete.

Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be any Cauchy sequence in  $K^*$ ; without loss of generality we can assume that it is absolutely convergent. We shall define a set X and show that it is the limit of the given sequence. Let  $x_1$  be any point in  $X_1, x_2$  any point in  $X_2$  such that  $d(x_1, x_2) < D(X_1, X_2)$  $+2^{-1}, x_3$  any point in  $X_3$  such that  $d(x_2, x_3) < D(X_2, X_3) + 2^{-2}$ , and so on. The existence of points  $x_2, x_3, \cdots$  with the properties stated follows from the definition of the Hausdorff distance. Every point  $x_i$ in  $X_i$  is a member of a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \cdots$  of the kind described. The sequence  $x_1, x_2, \cdots$  is absolutely convergent and hence a Cauchy sequence; since K is complete, it has a limit  $x_0$  in K. Let  $X_0$  be the locus of all the points  $x_0$  obtained as the limits of all possible sequences formed in the manner stated; let X be the closure of  $X_0$ . Then X is closed, bounded, and non-null, and X is in  $K^*$ . We shall show that  $\lim X_k = X$ . Let any  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $n = n(\epsilon)$  so that  $\sum_n^{\infty} [D(X_i, X_{i+1}) + 2^{-i}] < \epsilon/2$ . Let  $x^* \epsilon X_i$  and let  $x_0$  be the limit of a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Presented to the Society, December 28, 1938, under the title Spaces whose elements are sets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Numbers in square brackets refer to the references at the end.