## A CHARACTERIZATION OF DEDEKIND STRUCTURES\*

## MORGAN WARD

If  $\Sigma$  is a Dedekind structure,<sup>†</sup> then for any two elements A and B of  $\Sigma$ , the quotient structures [A, B]/A and B/(A, B) are isomorphic. (Dedekind [2], Ore [3].) I prove here a converse result.

THEOREM. Let  $\Sigma$  be a structure in which for every pair of elements A and B, the quotient structures [A, B]/A and B/(A, B) are isomorphic. Then if either the ascending or descending chain condition holds in  $\Sigma$ , the structure is Dedekindian.

This result is comparatively trivial if *both* the ascending and descending chain conditions hold. That some sort of chain condition is necessary may be seen by a simple example. Consider a structure  $\Sigma$ with an all element  $O_0$  and a unit element  $E_0$  built up out of three ordered structures  $\Sigma_1$ ,  $\Sigma_2$ ,  $\Sigma_3$  meeting only at  $O_0$  and  $E_0$ , so that if  $S_u \varepsilon \Sigma_u$ , then

$$(S_u, S_v) = E_0, \qquad [S_u, S_v] = O_0$$

for  $u, v = 1, 2, 3, u \neq v$ . Then if each  $\Sigma_i$  is a series of the type of the real numbers in the closed interval 0, 1, the quotient structures of any pair  $[S_u, S_v]/S_u, S_v/(S_u, S_v)$  are obviously isomorphic. But  $\Sigma$  is clearly non-Dedekindian.

The theorem is of some interest in view of the generalizations Ore has given of his decomposition theorems in Ore [4].

It suffices to prove the result under the hypothesis that the descending chain axiom holds in  $\Sigma$  (Ore [3, p. 410]). We formulate this axiom as follows:

( $\beta$ ) If for any two elements A and B of  $\Sigma$ ,

$$A \supset X_1 \supset X_2 \supset X_3 \supset \cdots \supset B$$

for an infinity of  $X_i$  in  $\Sigma$ , all the  $X_i$  are equal from a certain point on.

Our proof rests upon several lemmas which we collect here.

LEMMA 1. (Dedekind [2].)  $\Sigma$  is a Dedekind structure if and only if  $\Sigma$  contains no substructure  $\Sigma_0$  of order five which is non-Dedekindian.

<sup>\*</sup> Presented to the Society, April 15, 1939.

<sup>†</sup> We use the notation and terminology of Ore's fundamental paper, Ore [3], with the following two exceptions. (i) We write  $A \supset B$ ,  $B \subset A$  for Ore's  $A \ge B$ ,  $B \le A$ . (ii) If A is prime over B (Ore [3, p. 411]), we shall say "A covers B" or "B is covered by A" (Birkhoff [1]) and write A > B or B < A.