

## ABSTRACT RESIDUATION OVER LATTICES\*

R. P. DILWORTH

**Introduction.** The idea of residuation goes back to Dedekind [3], † who introduced it in the theory of modules. It has since had extensive applications in the theory of algebraic modular systems [6], in the theory of ideals [8], and in certain topics of arithmetic [9]. On account of its fundamental role in several fields of modern algebra, it is desirable to consider residuation abstractly. A postulational treatment also is a necessary preliminary to the investigation of the structure properties of the residual. We give such an abstract formulation.

In a commutative ring with unit element the residual of an ideal  $B$  with respect to an ideal  $A$ , written  $A : B$ , is an ideal with the properties  $A \supset (A : B)B$ ; if  $A \supset XB$ , then  $A : B \supset X$ . Although the residual is defined in terms of multiplication, most of its important properties are concerned with the cross-cut and union of ideals. Hence we shall consider a residual defined over a system having only these two operations, that is, over a *lattice* [2]. As an example of a system having a residual but no ordinary multiplication we consider in §5 residuation in a Boolean algebra.

In §1 the postulates for abstract residuation are given. Equality is taken as an undefined relation with cross-cut, union, and residual as undefined connections. In §2 we list a few systems satisfying the postulates. In §3 it is shown that the system defined by the postulates is a lattice and that the residual has all of its important properties which are independent of multiplication. Consistency and independence proofs are given in §4.

I wish to express my thanks to Professors Morgan Ward and E. T. Bell for their many suggestions and helpful criticisms during the preparation of this paper.

**1. Postulates for residuation.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of elements  $A, B, C, \dots$ ; and let  $=, [, ], (, ),$  and  $:$  be relations, satisfying the postulates i-iv; 1-3; I-V. In what follows,  $\circ$  denotes an arbitrary one of the relations  $[], [], (, ), :$  and the letters  $A, B, C, \dots$ , appearing in the statement of the postulates indicate arbitrary elements of  $\Sigma$ .

POSTULATE i.  $A \circ B$  is in  $\Sigma$  whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are in  $\Sigma$ .

---

\* Presented to the Society, November 27, 1937.

† Numbers in square brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.