

## ON BOUNDED CONVOLUTIONS

BY E. R. VAN KAMPEN AND AUREL WINTNER

For a type of convolutions of distributions on convex curves, there has been given recently a purely geometrical convergence criterion.† In what follows, this geometrical criterion will be freed from the convexity assumption, thus obtaining in case of bounded spectra a necessary and sufficient *convergence criterion which involves only the spectra* and not the distribution functions themselves. Only one-dimensional distributions will be considered; it will be clear from the proofs that the transition to multi-dimensional cases is obvious.

If  $\beta = \beta(x)$  is a distribution function, let  $S(\beta)$  denote its spectrum, and  $[S(\beta)]$  the diameter of this spectrum, the diameter  $[T]$  of a set  $T$  being defined as the least upper bound ( $\leq +\infty$ ) of the mutual distances of the pairs of points contained in  $T$ . The vectorial sum‡ of two sets  $T_1, T_2$  will be denoted by  $T_1(+)T_2$ , so that  $S(\beta_1*\beta_2)$  is the closure of  $S(\beta_1)(+)S(\beta_2)$ ; and  $S(\beta_1*\beta_2*\dots)$  is the closure of  $S(\beta_1)(+)S(\beta_2)(+)\dots$ , whenever the infinite convolution  $\beta_1*\beta_2*\dots$  is convergent. An infinite convolution  $\beta_1*\beta_2*\dots$  will be called *bounded* if

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [S(\beta_n)] < +\infty.$$

It is clear that the boundedness of an infinite convolution is neither necessary nor sufficient for its convergence.

If  $\beta_1*\beta_2*\dots$  is convergent, its spectrum  $S(\beta_1*\beta_2*\dots)$  is a bounded set if and only if  $\beta_1*\beta_2*\dots$  is a bounded convolution in the sense (1). This is implied by the fact that *an infinite convolution  $\beta_1*\beta_2*\dots$  is bounded if and only if*

$$(1') \quad [S(\beta_1*\dots*\beta_n^*)] < \text{const.} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

---

† E. R. van Kampen and A. Wintner, *Convolutions of distributions on convex curves and the Riemann zeta function*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 59 (1937), pp. 185–186.

‡ Cf. B. Jessen and A. Wintner, *Distribution functions and the Riemann zeta function*, Transactions of this Society, vol. 38 (1935), p. 52 and p. 56.