## ON SETS OF THREE CONSECUTIVE INTEGERS WHICH ARE QUADRATIC RESIDUES OF PRIMES*

BY A. A. BENNETT
In this paper we shall prove the following theorems.
Theorem I. For each prime, p, for which there are as many as three incongruent squares, there is a set of three consecutive residues (admitting zero and negative numbers as residues) which are squares, modulo $p$.

Theorem II. For $p=11$, and for each prime $p$ greater than 17, (and for no other primes), there is a set of three consecutive least positive (non-zero) residues which are squares, modulo $p$.

The problem $\dagger$ of finding three consecutive integers which are quadratic residues of a prime, $p$, is equivalent to the formally more general problem of finding two quantities, $x, y,(y \neq 0)$, such that $x, y, x+y, x-y$, are proportional to squares in the domain, $\ddagger$ since we then have $(x / y)-1, x / y,(x / y)+1$ as consecutive squares in the domain. We may show that for residues with respect to a modulus the condition is equivalent to the existence of a square of the form $\begin{aligned} & u v(u+v)(u-v) \text {. By taking }\end{aligned}$ $u=x, v=y$, we see that the condition is necessary.

[^0]
[^0]:    * Presented to the Society, April 10, 1925.
    $\dagger$ For references, compare article of similar title by H.S. Vandiver, this Bulletin, vol. 31 (1925), p. 33.
    $\ddagger$ That, in the system of natural numbers, it is impossible to have distinct quantities, $x, y$, such that $x, y, x+y, x-y$ are all proportional to squares was proved by Fermat by his celebrated method of "infinite descent". See Carmichael, Theory of Numbers, p. 86.
    $\S$ It is of interest to note that in the case of natural numbers we may take $u=x$ and $v=y$ for this relation. Indeed, if $x, y, x+y$, $x-y$ were proportional to squares, certainly their product would be a square. Conversely, suppose that their product were a square. Then either $x, y, x+y, x-y$ would all be relatively prime, or if

