Let us see what the effect will be when the value of z as obtained from  $\varrho = 0$  is substituted in equations (1). Suppose that the substitution has been made in X and Z. It is easy to see that  $X_z$  and  $Z_z$  are equal to zero, and that to differentiate X completely with respect to x, it is necessary to differentiate with respect to x and then to use the function of a function rule, thus  $X_x + X_z(\partial z/\partial x)$ , and similarly for the other letters. Thus using the fact that  $\varrho = 0$ , we may write the equations (5) in the form

$$(16) \begin{cases} \left(X_p + \frac{\partial z}{\partial p} X_z\right) (Z_x + pZ_z) - \left(Z_p + \frac{\partial z}{\partial p} Z_z\right) (X_x + pX_z) = 0, \\ \left(P_p + \frac{\partial z}{\partial p} P_z\right) (X_x + pX_z) - \left(X_p + \frac{\partial z}{\partial p} X_z\right) (P_x + pP_z) = 0, \\ \left(P_p + \frac{\partial z}{\partial p} P_z\right) (Z_x + pZ_z) - \left(Z_p + \frac{\partial z}{\partial p} Z_z\right) (P_x + pP_z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is very easy to see that these equations are now the expanded form of the determinants of the matrix (15). Hence the theorem is proved.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI

## INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL INVARIANTS OF ONE-PARAMETER GROUPS OF FREDHOLM TRANSFORMATIONS\*

## BY A. D. MICHAL

1. Statement of the Problem. The author<sup>+</sup> has already considered functionals of the form  $f[y(\tau'_0), y'(\tau'_0)]$  (depending only on a function  $y(\tau)$  and its derivative  $y'(\tau)$  between 0 and 1) which are invariant under an arbitrary Volterra one-parameter group of continuous transformations. The

338

<sup>\*</sup> Presented to the Society, December 1, 1923.

<sup>†</sup> Cf. Integro-differential expressions invariant under Volterra's group of transformations in a forthcoming issue of the ANNALS OF MATHEMATICS. This paper will be referred to as "I. D. I. V."