## REFERENCES

- 1. K. A. Baker, Finite equational bases for finite algebras in a congruence distributive equational class, Adv. in Math. 24 (1977), 207-243.
- 2. G. Birkhoff, On the structure of abstract algebras, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 31 (1935), 433-454.
- 3. S. Burris and R. McKenzie, *Decidability and Boolean representations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 32, no. 246, 1981.
- 4. R. Freese and R. McKenzie, Residually small varieties with modular congruence lattices, Transl. Amer. Math. Soc. 264 (1981), 419-430.
- 5. H.-P. Gumm, Geometrical methods in congruence modular algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 45, no. 286, 1983.
- 6. J. Hagemann and C. Herrmann, A concrete ideal multiplication for algebraic systems and its relation to congruence distributivity, Arch. Math. (Basel) 32 (1979), 234-245.
- 7. B. Jónsson, Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive, Math. Scand. 21 (1967), 110-121.
- 8. R. McKenzie, Finite equational bases for congruence modular varieties, Algebra Universalis 24 (1987), 224-251.
  - 9. R. McKenzie and M. Valeriote, The structure of decidable locally finite varieties,
- 10. J. D. H. Smith, *Mal'cev varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 554, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1976.
- 11. W. Taylor, Some applications of the term condition, Algebra Universalis 14 (1982), 11-24.

STANLEY BURRIS UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

BULLETIN (New Series) OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 20, Number 1, January 1989 © 1989 American Mathematical Society 0273-0979/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Generalized solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations, by Elemér E. Rosinger. Mathematical Studies, vol. 146, North-Holland, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1987, xvii + 409 pp., US \$ 92.00; Dfl 175.00. ISBN 0-444-70310-1

Why the need for generalized solutions of partial differential equations? It has been recognized that many equations of physics do not have classical solutions (for instance shock wave solutions of systems of conservation laws). Distribution solutions—usually called "weak solutions"—of the model equation

$$u_t + uu_x = 0$$

are defined as those integrable functions u which satisfy:  $\forall \psi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with compact support

(1) 
$$\iint \left[ u(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(x,t) + \frac{1}{2} u^2(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi(x,t) \right] dx dt = 0.$$

In the case of linear equations a detailed theory has been developed [15, 7]. However the situation is far from being satisfactory. Lewy [8] showed that the very simple linear equation

(2) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} u - 2i(x_1 + ix_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} u = f$$