

8. F. Klein, *On Riemann's theory of algebraic functions and their integrals*, Dover, New York, 1963.
9. M. Schiffer and D. C. Spencer, *Functionals of finite Riemann surfaces*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1957.
10. C. L. Siegel, *Topics in function theory*, Wiley, New York, 1969.
11. E. T. Whittaker, *A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies*, Dover, New York, 1944.

HARVEY COHN

BULLETIN (New Series) OF THE
 AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
 Volume 8, Number 2, March 1983
 ©1983 American Mathematical Society
 0273-0979/82/0000-1158/\$01.50

Module categories of analytic groups, by Andy R. Magid, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 81, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1982, x + 134 pp., \$29.50.

The relationship between a group G and the collection of its finite-dimensional linear representations (or the category $\text{Mod}(G)$ of finite-dimensional G -modules) is often subtle. For compact Lie groups, there are classical duality results affirming that the group is recoverable from a knowledge of its representations and how they tensor. For example, in case G is abelian, Pontryagin duality gives an isomorphism between G and \hat{G} . Here the dual group \hat{G} consists of the 1-dimensional representations of G (complex-valued characters), the product of characters corresponding to the tensor product of associated representations.

Tannaka duality [5] does something similar for arbitrary compact Lie groups. The role of \hat{G} is played by the collection of all finite-dimensional representations of G , whose "representations" are in turn identified with elements of G . In Chevalley's formulation [1], one forms the Hopf algebra $R(G)$ of \mathbb{C} -valued "representative functions" (matrix coordinate functions for representations of G), with a coproduct reflecting the product in G . Because G is compact, $R(G)$ is finitely generated, hence gives rise to a complex linear algebraic group \bar{G} . The points of \bar{G} can be thought of as algebra homomorphisms $R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, by identifying $R(G)$ with functions on \bar{G} . Duality means that G is realized as the group of real points of \bar{G} . In this formulation, $R(G)$ plays the role of a dual group, encapsulating the structure of $\text{Mod}(G)$ as a category with tensor products.

In a long series of joint papers (1957–1969), G. Hochschild and G. D. Mostow explored the Hopf algebra of representative functions of an arbitrary complex analytic group (cf. [3]). In case G is semisimple, its finite-dimensional representation theory is essentially that of its compact real form; so $R(G)$ is finitely generated and gives G the structure of an algebraic group. But in general the story is far more complicated. In particular, distinct groups may give rise to the "same" category $\text{Mod}(G)$. This happens in a fairly transparent way when G fails to have a faithful finite-dimensional (analytic) representation,