

## A COMPUTER-ASSISTED PROOF OF THE FEIGENBAUM CONJECTURES

BY OSCAR E. LANFORD III<sup>1</sup>

**1. Introduction.** Let  $M$  denote the space of continuously differentiable even mappings  $\psi$  of the interval  $[-1, 1]$  into itself such that

M1.  $\psi(0) = 1$ ,

M2.  $x\psi'(x) < 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ .

M2 says that  $\psi$  is strictly increasing on  $[-1, 0)$  and strictly decreasing on  $(0, 1]$ , so  $M$  is a space of mappings which are unimodal in a strict sense.

Condition M1 says that the unique critical point 0 is mapped to 1. We want to consider  $\psi$ 's which map 1 slightly – but not too far – to the left of 0. It may then be possible to find nonoverlapping intervals  $I_0$  about 0 and  $I_1$  near 1 which are exchanged by  $\psi$ . Technically, we proceed as follows: Write  $a$  for  $-\psi(1) = -\psi^2(0)$  and  $b$  for  $\psi(a)$ ; we suppress from the notation the dependence of  $a$  and  $b$  on  $\psi$ . Define  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  to be the set of all  $\psi$ 's in  $M$  such that:

D1.  $a > 0$ ,

D2.  $b > a$ ,

D3.  $\psi(b) \leq a$ .

The two intervals  $I_0 = [-a, a]$  and  $I_1 = [b, 1]$  are then nonoverlapping and  $\psi$  maps  $I_0$  into  $I_1$  and vice versa. If  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ , then  $\psi \circ \psi|_{I_0}$  has a single critical point, which is a minimum. By making the change of variables  $x \rightarrow -ax$ , we replace  $I_0$  by  $[-1, 1]$  and the minimum by a maximum, i.e., if we define

$$T\psi(x) = -\frac{1}{a} \psi \circ \psi(-ax) \quad \text{for } x \in [-1, 1]$$

then  $T\psi$  is again in  $M$ . Thus,  $T$  defines a mapping of  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  into  $M$ . (In general,  $T\psi$  need not lie in  $\mathcal{D}(T)$ . If  $a$  is small, then  $T\psi(1)$  will be approximately 1 so  $T\psi$  will not satisfy D1. On the other hand, if  $\psi(b)$  is near  $a$ , then  $T\psi(1)$  will be near  $-1$  from which it follows that  $T\psi$  does not satisfy D2.)

M. Feigenbaum [6] has proposed an explanation for some universal features displayed by infinite sequences of period doubling bifurcations based on some conjectures about  $T$ . We will not review his argument here; a version with due regard for mathematical technicalities may be found in Collet and Eckmann [3],

---

Received by the editors October 27, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 58F14.

<sup>1</sup>The author gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Stiftung Volkswagenwerk for a visit to the IHES during which this paper was written, and the continuing financial support of the National Science Foundation (Grant MCS 78-06718).