

AN AVERAGING PROPERTY OF THE RANGE OF A VECTOR MEASURE

BY J. DIESTEL¹ AND C. J. SEIFERT

Communicated by R. G. Bartle, April 19, 1976

Our discussion centers around the striking properties displayed by the range of a vector-valued measure. Let Σ be a σ -field of sets, X be a Banach space and $F: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ be a countably additive map (a vector measure). Bartle, Dunford and Schwartz [3] showed that $F(\Sigma)$ is relatively weakly compact; Liapounov [13] (see also Lindenstrauss [14]) showed that if X is finite dimensional then $F(\Sigma)$ is compact and, if F has no atoms, convex. Some additional peculiarities: Each extreme point of the closed convex hull of $F(\Sigma)$, $\overline{\text{co}}(F(\Sigma))$, lies in $F(\Sigma)$ [12]. Each extreme point of the closed convex hull of $F(\Sigma)$ is a denting point of $\overline{\text{co}}(F(\Sigma))$ [1]. The exposed points of $\overline{\text{co}}(F(\Sigma))$ are strongly exposed [1] and a point $x \in \overline{\text{co}}(F(\Sigma))$ is exposed by $x^* \in X^*$ (the dual of X) if and only if F is $|x^*F|$ -continuous. While any two dimensional unit ball is the range of a vector measure, the unit ball of an l_p^3 ($1 \leq p < 2$) is not ([4], [7]). Kluvanek [10] has noted that as a consequence of a classical theorem of Banach [8] the unit ball of l_2 is the range of a vector measure; he [11] has also obtained a characterization of the range of vector measures. The closed unit ball of L_p (or l_p) for $1 < p < 2$ is *not* the range of a vector measure. Since this last assertion seems not to be easily deducible from Kluvanek's characterization, a few remarks on its proof are in order: Note that if the ball of X is the range of a vector measure F then X is the quotient via integration of the Banach space $B(\Sigma)$ of bounded Σ measurable functions—a $C(K)$ space. If X is also a subspace of some L_1 space then Grothendieck's inequality [15] implies X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. Since $L_p[0, 1]$ is isomorphic to a subspace of $L_1[0, 1]$ by [5] but is not isomorphic to any Hilbert space [2] our original assertion follows.

Our main result is built upon the beautiful paper of Szlenk [16] and using his methods we have the

THEOREM. *Every sequence in the range of a vector measure has a subsequence whose arithmetic means are norm convergent.*

OUTLINE OF PROOF. Key to the proof is the fact proved by Bartle, Dunford and Schwartz [3] that there exists a probability measure μ on Σ with the same null sets as F . Look at a sequence $(F(E_n))$ chosen from the range of the vector

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A45.

¹This author's research was supported in part by NSF MPS 08050.