

COVERING AND FUNCTION THEORETIC PROPERTIES OF UNIFORM SPACES

BY MICHAEL D. RICE

Communicated by W. W. Comfort, May 21, 1973

The purpose of this note is to announce the major ideas and results developed in $[R]_1$. The proofs of these results will appear in a series of three papers $[R]_2$, $[R]_3$, and $[RR]$, the latter including categorical topics that will be omitted here. The subject matter is the covering and function theoretic properties of uniform spaces, a subject initiated by John Isbell in the 1950's. (See $[GI]$ and $[I]$.) Our work represents a continuation and extension of the current work of Anthony Hager ($[H]_1$, $[H]_2$) and Z. Frolík; and overlaps somewhat with recent work of Z. Frolík ($[Fr]_1$, $[Fr]_2$). The author wishes to emphasize that his work substantiates the existence of a theory of uniform structures *which is not primarily* interested in topological applications. Therefore, the viewpoint adopted here is one of intrinsic interest per se in uniform properties.

A uniform space is denoted by uX , where u is a family of covers on the set X constituting a uniformity. uX is *fine* if u is the largest uniformity on X with the same uniform topology. A *subfine* space is a subspace of a fine space. uX is *locally fine* if each cover of the form $\{A_\alpha \cap C_\beta^\alpha\} \in u$, where $\{A_\alpha\} \in u$, and $\{C_\beta^\alpha\} \in u$ for each α . uX is *M-fine* (*sub-M-fine*) if each uniformly continuous function (map) to a metric (complete metric) space remains a map relative to the fine uniformity on M (the uniformity with the basis of open covers of M). uX is *hereditarily M-fine* if each subspace is *M-fine*.

The basic source on locally fine and subfine spaces is $[I]$, while the development of separable *M-fine* and separable hereditarily *M-fine* spaces (those with a basis of countable covers) originates in $[H]_1$ and $[H]_2$.

One easily sees that each fine space is *M-fine* and that each *M-fine* space is *sub-M-fine*. Example C of $[GI]$ is a hereditarily *M-fine* space which is not locally fine. $[I]$ shows that each locally fine space is *sub-M-fine* and that each subfine space is locally fine; the converse of the latter is an unsolved problem. From $[I]$ we also know that each separable *sub-M-fine* space is subfine.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54E15; Secondary 54E35.

Copyright © American Mathematical Society 1974